

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 2: Evidence Report

2021 American College of Rheumatology/Vasculitis Foundation Guideline for the Management of Polyarteritis Nodosa

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Diagnosis

- **PICO question 1:** In patients with suspected PAN with and without gastrointestinal symptoms, what is the impact of non-invasive vascular imaging vs. conventional catheter-based imaging on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** diagnostic accuracy, disease damage, clinical symptoms, death, adverse reaction to contrast, procedure complications

1. In patients with suspected PAN with and without gastrointestinal symptoms, what is the impact of non-invasive vascular imaging vs. conventional catheter-based imaging on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

2. In patients with suspected PAN with and without gastrointestinal symptoms, what is the impact of non-invasive vascular imaging on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

3. In patients with suspected PAN with and without gastrointestinal symptoms, what is the impact of conventional catheter-based imaging on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

None

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
--------	------	-------	----------

M. Singhal	2016	Role of multidetector abdominal CT in the evaluation of abnormalities in polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude – descriptive study anatomy
C. Pagnoux	2005	Presentation and outcome of gastrointestinal involvement in systemic necrotizing vasculitides: analysis of 62 patients with polyarteritis nodosa, microscopic polyangiitis, Wegener granulomatosis, Churg-Strauss syndrome, or rheumatoid arthritis-associated vasculitis	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
S. Ozen	2004	Juvenile polyarteritis: results of a multicenter survey of 110 children	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
N. Gunal	1997	Cardiac involvement in childhood polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
R. Gupta	1997	Outcome of polyarteritis nodosa in northern India	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
L. Guillevin	1996	Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, abnormal angiograms and pathological findings in polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss syndrome: indications for the classification of vasculitides of the polyarteritis Nodosa Group	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
M. Gordon	1993	Relapses in patients with a systemic vasculitis	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
L. Guillevin	1993	Antineutrophil cytoplasm antibodies in systemic polyarteritis nodosa with and without hepatitis B virus infection and Churg-Strauss syndrome--62 patients	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
P. Hekali	1991	Diagnostic significance of angiographically observed visceral aneurysms with regard to polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
D. A. Albert	1988	The diagnosis of polyarteritis nodosa. II. Empirical verification of a decision analysis model	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
L. Guillevin	1988	Clinical findings and prognosis of polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss angiitis: a study in 165 patients	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
E. A. Ewald	1987	Correlation of angiographic abnormalities with disease manifestations and disease severity in polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
R. J. Sellar	1986	The incidence of microaneurysms in polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
J. J. Vazquez	1981	Angiographic findings in systemic necrotizing vasculitis	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
R. L. Travers	1979	Polyarteritis nodosa: a clinical and angiographic analysis of 17 cases	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.
E. B. Blau	1977	Polyarteritis nodosa in older children	Exclude. Does not address PICO question.

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Diagnosis

- **PICO question 2:** In patients with suspected cutaneous or systemic PAN involving the skin, what is the impact of a deep skin biopsy vs. skin punch biopsy on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
 - **Critical Outcomes:** diagnostic accuracy, disease damage, disease activity, death, pain, scarring, tissue injury
4. In patients with suspected cutaneous or systemic PAN involving the skin, what is the impact of a deep skin biopsy vs. skin punch biopsy on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
No comparative data available
5. In patients with suspected cutaneous or systemic PAN involving the skin, what is the impact of a deep skin biopsy on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Diagnostic accuracy: There are a limited number of cases with no direct evidence. From what is available, deep biopsy is favored based.	Caorsi R, 2017	Cross sectional design.	NA	Patients with a history of livedo reticularis and/or early stroke in the context of inflammation or PAN.	No intervention, purely observational.	10 biopsies were performed: - 7/10 (70%) showed PAN (i.e., medium vessel vasculitis)	Indirect evidence: The paper does not delineate what type of biopsy was performed (i.e., deep vs punch). Of note, medium vessel vasculitis is generally only diagnosed by deep skin biopsy.

6. In patients with suspected cutaneous or systemic PAN involving the skin, what is the impact of a skin punch biopsy on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

- Patient important outcomes:

Outcomes	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population	Intervention used in relevant population	Results	Comments
Diagnostic accuracy: There are a limited number of cases with no direct evidence.	Caorsi R, 2017	Cross sectional design.	NA	Patients with a history of livedo reticularis and/or early stroke in the context of inflammation or PAN.	No intervention, purely observational.	10 biopsies were performed: - 3/10 (30%) showed LCV (i.e., small vessel vasculitis)	Indirect evidence: The paper does not delineate what type of biopsy was performed (i.e., deep vs punch). Of note, LCV can be seen with either a punch or deep skin biopsy.
From what is available, deep biopsy is favored based, except in cases where LCV is expected.	Albert D, 1988	Cross sectional	NA	Patients with ICD code consistent with PAN. Mimics were excluded including infectious arteritis, PACNS and RA. Done in Chicago on patients seen between 1980-1985	No intervention.	2 punch skin biopsies done. 0/2 were positive for diagnosis. Both of these patients had confirmation of diagnosis by another means.	Indirect evidence: There is a limited number of skin biopsies performed and the indication for the skin biopsy was not described (e.g., palpable purpura vs nodular lesions).

• References:

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
--------	------	-------	----------

R. Caorsi	2017	ADA2 deficiency (DADA2) as an unrecognised cause of early onset polyarteritis nodosa and stroke: a multicentre national study	Include: This is indirect evidence as the paper does not mention which patients got deep vs punch skin biopsy.
D. A. Albert	1988	The diagnosis of polyarteritis nodosa. II. Empirical verification of a decision analysis model	Included: Only 2 punch skin biopsies reported.

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
S. Ozen	2004	Juvenile polyarteritis: results of a multicenter survey of 110 children	Exclude: The article does not describe whether patients had a deep or punch skin biopsy. There is also no outcome data presented related to the skin biopsy.
N. Gunal	1997	Cardiac involvement in childhood polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude: Four patients in this small cohort (n=15) had skin biopsies, but the type and results of those biopsies is not mentioned.
R. Gupta	1997	Outcome of polyarteritis nodosa in northern India	Exclude: Only 2 skin biopsies were reported (with 1 positive), however it does not delineate what type of biopsies were performed.
M. Gordon	1993	Relapses in patients with a systemic vasculitis	Exclude: There were 12 classic PAN patients with 9 diagnosed based on skin, nerve or muscle biopsies. The article does not delineate how many had each and what type (i.e., deep vs punch skin biopsy).
L. Guillevin	1993	Antineutrophil cytoplasm antibodies in systemic polyarteritis nodosa with and without hepatitis B virus infection and Churg-Strauss syndrome--62 patients	Exclude: Article reports which patients were diagnosed by skin biopsy, however, the biopsy type (i.e., deep vs punch) is not described.

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Diagnosis

- **PICO question 3:** In patients with suspected PAN and peripheral neuropathy (motor and/or sensory), what is the impact of nerve and muscle biopsy vs. nerve biopsy alone on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

- **Critical Outcomes:** diagnostic accuracy, disease damage, disease activity, death, pain, scarring, tissue injury

7. In patients with suspected PAN and peripheral neuropathy (motor and/or sensory), what is the impact of nerve and muscle biopsy vs. nerve biopsy alone on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

8. In patients with suspected PAN and peripheral neuropathy (motor and/or sensory), what is the impact of nerve and muscle biopsy on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Diagnostic accuracy: Many of the studies include other forms of vasculitis and done prior to MPA being separated from PAN by CHCC. The majority of the evidence supports combination nerve/muscle biopsy. The article by Vital et al suggests that the number of positive biopsies can be increased from 16/202 (8% in nerve only) to 25/202 (12%	Pagnoux C, 2010	Retrospective chart review	68.3 ± 63.5 months	348 PAN patients meeting ACR and CHCC diagnosed between 1963 and 2005. All participated in the French Vasculitis Study Group (FVSG) trials.	No intervention	Muscle/nerve biopsies: -Total: 129 biopsies (108 with peripheral neuropathy and 21 without. -Positive: 107 (65%) in all [90 (83%) with peripheral neuropathy and 17 (81%) without] Muscle biopsy alone: 65/100 (65%) positive	Direct evidence: Article directly lists number of positive nerve/muscle biopsies and demonstrates this to be superior to muscle biopsies alone. Study includes both Hepatitis B virus positive and negative patients.
	Vital C, 2006	Multicenter retrospective study	NA	202 patients with nerve & muscle biopsy for suspected vasculitis neuropathy. 1989-2004 in Southwest France. CHCC used for diagnosis.	Whole superficial peroneal nerve biopsy (2cm long) and 2-4 fragments from the peroneus brevis muscle.	60/202 (29.7%) showed definite necrotizing vasculitis (56 with MPA lesions and 4 PAN). 16/60 (26.7%) with only nerve lesions, 19/60 (31.7%) with muscle only, and 25/60 (41.7%) with nerve and muscle lesions.	Direct evidence: Article directly looks at number of positive muscle/nerve biopsies in patients with suspected vasculitic neuropathy. This is a mixed population of different forms of systemic vasculitis with only small percentage being PAN;

with nerve/muscle biopsy) in those with suspected vasculitis neuropathy.							however, results are likely generalizable.
	Martinez AC, 1988	Cross sectional	NA	15 patients with systemic necrotizing vasculitis (SNV) of "PAN group" (article predates separation of MPA from PAN)	Nerve and/or muscle biopsy	11/14 (78.6%) muscle biopsies showed SNV 12/13 (92.3%) nerve biopsies showed SNV	Indirect: The population is likely a mixture of forms of small vessel vasculitis and PAN.
	Wees SJ, 1981	Retrospective observational	Not given	17 patients with vasculitic neuropathy, 11 with PAN. PAN diagnosis based on 1) at least 2 organs involvement, 2) histologically proven necrotizing vasculitis and 3) other vasculitides ruled out. (prior to separation of MPA from PAN by CHCC)	Muscle and sural nerve biopsies	13/15 (86.7%) sural nerve biopsies positive. 6/11 (54.5%) muscle biopsies showed signs of vasculitis.	Indirect evidence: This is a mixed population of patients including secondary forms of vasculitis (2/2 to RA and SLE) and it is likely some of these are forms of small vessel vasculitis (i.e., MPA).
	Walker G, 1978	Retrospective, observational	Mean f/u 3.3 years	20 patients admitted to Royal Norh Shore Hospital with PAN over 8 year period. Excluded those with insufficient proof or likely EGPA.	No intervention	2/2 sural nerve biopsies diagnostic 3/7 muscle biopsies diagnostic	Indirect: The number that had both biopsies together was not defined. This may include patients with MPA (prior to CHCC defining MPA)
Bennett, 2008	Retrospective, observational	5 years	53 cases of biopsy proven peripheral nerve vasculitis. Clinicopathological	Nerve biopsy and muscle biopsy	Nerve biopsy demonstrated definite vasculitis in 36%, probable vasculitis in 62% and no vasculitis in		

				and neurophysiological data in these patients were reviewed.		<p>2% of cases. In 24 patients a muscle biopsy (usually the vastus lateralis) was also performed and vasculitis was demonstrated in 46% of these (in 13% showing definite and 33% probable vasculitis). There was only one patient in whom vasculitis was demonstrated in muscle but not in peripheral nerve.</p> <p>Combined nerve (usually sural) and vastus lateralis muscle biopsy did not significantly increase the diagnostic yield compared with nerve biopsy alone</p>	
Said, 1988	Retrospective, observational	15 years	100 patients in whom necrotizing arteritis was found in muscle and/or in nerve biopsy	Specimens to learn more about the clinicopathological aspects of the neuropathy associated with necrotizing arteritis and to compare the respective value of nerve and muscle biopsies in corroborating the diagnosis of necrotizing arteritis.	Among the 83 patients who underwent a muscle and a nerve biopsy, the muscle biopsy was diagnostic for necrotizing arteritis in 66 (80%) , and the nerve biopsy was diagnostic in 46 (55%) . Observations plead for performance of nerve and muscle biopsies when the cause of a neuropathy has not been identified, even when		

						general symptoms are absent.	
Tissue injury: The (limited) data that is available suggests the addition of a muscle biopsy does not add to tissue damage over a nerve biopsy alone.	Wees SJ, 1981	Retrospective observational	Not given	17 patients with vasculitic neuropathy, 11 with PAN. PAN diagnosis based on 1) at least 2 organs involvement, 2) histologically proven necrotizing vasculitis and 3) other vasculitides ruled out. (prior to separation of MPA from PAN by CHCC)	Muscle and sural nerve biopsies	All muscle biopsies (n=11) healed well 2 nerve biopsies were associated with infection and poor wound healing (both with vasculitis 2/2 to RA)	Indirect evidence: This is a mixed population of patients including secondary forms of vasculitis (2/2 to RA and SLE) and it is likely some of these are forms of small vessel vasculitis (i.e., MPA).

9. In patients with suspected PAN and peripheral neuropathy (motor and/or sensory), what is the impact of nerve biopsy alone on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

- Patient important outcomes:

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Diagnostic accuracy	Albert D, 1988	Cross sectional	NA	Patients with ICD code consistent with PAN. Mimics were excluded including infectious arteritis, PACNS and RA. Done in Chicago on patients seen between 1980-1985	No intervention	Muscle only: 5/9 (56%) confirmed diagnosis Nerve only: 2/2 confirmed diagnosis Muscle + nerve: 1/2 confirmed diagnosis Including reference from literature: Nerve bx alone: 8/11 (73%)	Indirect evidence: This does not include patients with mimics of PAN. Small number of patients included.
	Bennett, 2008	Retrospective, observational	5 years	53 cases of biopsy proven peripheral nerve va	Nerve biopsy and muscle biopsy	Nerve biopsy demonstrated definite vasculitis in 36%,	

				<p>sculitis. Clinicopathological and neurophysiological data in these patients were reviewed.</p>		<p>probable vasculitis in 62% and no vasculitis in 2% of cases. In 24 patients a muscle biopsy (usually the vastus lateralis) was also performed and vasculitis was demonstrated in 46% of these (in 13% showing definite and 33% probable vasculitis). There was only one patient in whom vasculitis was demonstrated in muscle but not in peripheral nerve.</p> <p>Combined nerve (usually sural) and vastus lateralis muscle biopsy did not significantly increase the diagnostic yield compared with nerve biopsy alone</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--	---	--

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:
-

Author	Year	Title	Comments
C. Pagnoux	2010	Clinical features and outcomes in 348 patients with polyarteritis nodosa: a systematic retrospective study of patients diagnosed between 1963 and 2005 and entered into the French Vasculitis Study Group Database	Included.
C. Vital	2006	Combined nerve and muscle biopsy in the diagnosis of vasculitic neuropathy. A 16-year retrospective study of 202 cases	Included: Study includes a mixed population of vasculitis patients but generalizable to PAN.
D. A. Albert	1988	The diagnosis of polyarteritis nodosa. II. Empirical verification of a decision analysis model	Included: Limited number of patients.
A. Cruz Martinez	1988	Electrophysiological study in systemic necrotizing vasculitis of the polyarteritis nodosa group	Included: Likely a mixed population of patients with systemic necrotizing vasculitis.
S. J. Wees	1981	Sural nerve biopsy in systemic necrotizing vasculitis	Included: Mixed population of patients.
G. L. Walker	1978	Neurological features of polyarteritis nodosa	Included: Limited number of patients. It may include patients with MPA since done prior to CHCC defining MPA.
Bennet	2008	The use of nerve and muscle biopsy in the diagnosis of vasculitis: a 5 year retrospective study.	Included.
Said G	1988	The peripheral neuropathy of necrotizing arteritis: a clinicopathological study.	Included

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
R. Caorsi	2017	ADA2 deficiency (DADA2) as an unrecognised cause of early onset polyarteritis nodosa and stroke: a multicentre national study	Exclude: It does not appear that any of the cohort had a nerve and/or muscle biopsy.
S. Ozen	2004	Juvenile polyarteritis: results of a multicenter survey of 110 children	Exclude: There is no mention of nerve biopsies. Muscle biopsies were mentioned to a limited degree, but not in combination with nerve biopsy.
N. Gunal	1997	Cardiac involvement in childhood polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude: There were no patients with neurologic involvement in this small cohort (n=15).

S. H. Hawke	1991	Vasculitic neuropathy. A clinical and pathological study	Exclude: Some patients had nerve only and a small number had muscle biopsy as well. Outcomes are not delineated by the type of biopsies (i.e., nerve only vs nerve & muscle)
E. B. Blau	1977	Polyarteritis nodosa in older children	Exclude: No nerve biopsies are reported.
M. Sack	1975	Prognostic factors in polyarteritis	Exclude: No nerve biopsies reported.

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 4:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of pulse intravenous glucocorticoids compared to high dose oral glucocorticoids disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, infection, serious adverse events, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., hyperglycemia, decreased bone mineral density)

10. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of pulse intravenous glucocorticoids compared to high dose oral glucocorticoids disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

11. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of pulse intravenous glucocorticoids disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

12. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of high dose oral glucocorticoids disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None

- Comparative observational studies:
None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:
None
- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
M. Samson	2014	Long-term follow-up of a randomized trial on 118 patients with polyarteritis nodosa or microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors	Exclude. This study was a long term follow up study of a prospective randomized trial. And many patients were already treated with Imuran or Cytoxan on follow up
M. Maeda	1997	Clinical observation of 14 cases of childhood polyarteritis nodosa in Japan	Exclude. Majority of patients were also treated with Imuran or Cytoxan. Also only a survey study.
M. Gordon	1993	Relapses in patients with a systemic vasculitis	Exclude. Prednisone were given together in combination with Cytoxan or imuran
L. Guillevin	1992	Lack of superiority of steroids plus plasma exchange to steroids alone in the treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss syndrome. A prospective, randomized trial in 78 patients	Exclude. Study included PAN and EGPA together and considered as same disease group.
L. Guillevin	1988	Clinical findings and prognosis of polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss angiitis: a study in 165 patients	Exclude. Study included PAN and EGPA together and considered as same disease group
E. B. Blau	1977	Polyarteritis nodosa in older children	Exclude. Study included 2 patients who received IV pulse steroids and 9 had 2 mg/kg/day dose. Outcomes were not differentiated according to steroid dosing
M. Sack	1975	Prognostic factors in polyarteritis	Exclude. Study included "polyarteritis" patients. Cannot be classified as PAN
P. P. Frohnert	1967	Long-term follow-up study of periarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Study involved "periarteritis nodosa" and likely included EGPA patients

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)


Treatment

- **PICO question 5:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide with high dose glucocorticoids vs. high dose glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hyperglycemia, decreased bone mineral density)

13. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide with high dose glucocorticoids vs. high dose glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	of cyclophosphamide with high dose glucocorticoid	high dose glucocorticoids alone	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		

Death within 2 years of disease onset

1	observational studies	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^b	strong association	4/9 (44.4%)	9/36 (25.0%)	OR 2.40 (0.53 to 10.93)	194 more per 1,000 (from 100 fewer to 535 more)	 VERY LOW	
---	-----------------------	----------------------	-------------	-------------	---------------------------	--------------------	-------------	--------------	-----------------------------------	---	---	--

CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio

Explanations

a. Patients may have received prior treatment at outside institutions, unclear how this was determined- patients may have received other treatments not documented

b. Clinical action would differ if the upper versus the lower boundary of the CI represented the truth, leading to very serious imprecision

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

Author	Year	Title
R. D. Cohen	1980	Clinical features, prognosis, and response to treatment in polyarteritis

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 6:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide vs. other non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia)

14. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide vs. other non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

15. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results
Survival was reported by one study with 24 patients followed up for 10 years, with 90% at 5 years and 80% at 10 years.	Samson, 2017	RCT	10 years	24 PAN patients	CYC in 6 and 12 pulse doses + GC.	Overall 5-year survival 90%, 10-year survival 80%. Disease-free survival 5-10 years 58%
Sustained remission was reported by 6 studies with 114 patients and rates ranging from 100% at 2 years follow-up and 41% at 13 years of follow-up.	Samson, 2017	RCT	10 years	24 PAN patients	CYC in 6 and 12 pulse doses + GC.	15/24 (62.5%)
	Guillevin, 2013	RCT	The mean (SD) followup was 32 (21) months	18 PAN patients	CYC in 6 and 12 pulse doses + GC.	100%
	Gayraud, 1997	RCT	Mean follow-up 60.82 (14.5) months	17 PAN patients	Oral or IV CYC + GC	15/17 (88%)
	Boki, 1997	Retrospective case-series	13 years	22 PAN patients	Oral or IV CYC + GC	9/22 (41%)
	Gupta, 1997	Retrospective case-series	9 years	17 PAN patients	IV CYC pulses + GC	14/17 (82%)

	Fauci, 1979	Case-series	11 years	16 PAN patients	CYC 2mg/kg/day	14/16 (87.5%)
Relapses were reported by 5 studies with 145 patients and rates ranging from 6% to 39% and follow-ups ranging from 32 months to 9 years.	Samson, 2017	RCT	10 years	24 PAN patients	CYC in 6 and 12 pulse doses + GC.	7/24 (29%)
	Eleftheriou, 2013	Retrospective case-series	Median follow-up 6 years (range 1.5–16 years).	69 pediatric patients with PAN	IV cyclophosphamide at 500–750 mg/m ² (maximum 1.2 gm) for a total of 3 or 6 doses	Lower risk of relapse with an increased cumulative CYC dose (HR 0.995 [95% CI 0.795–0.995], P 0.005), in a multivariable model HR 0.895 [95% CI 0.795–0.998], P 0.003.
	Guillevin, 2013	RCT	The mean (SD) followup was 32 (21) months	18 PAN patients	CYC in 6 and 12 pulse doses + GC.	7/18 (39%)
	Gayraud, 1997	RCT	Mean follow-up 60.82 (14.5) months	17 PAN patients	Oral or IV CYC + GC	1/17 (6%)
	Gupta, 1997	Retrospective case-series	9 years	17 PAN patients	IV CYC pulses + GC	2/14 (14%)
Death was reported by 5 studies with 98 patients and ranged from 6% to 18% with follow-ups from 32 months up to 13 years.	Samson, 2017	RCT	10 years	24 PAN patients	CYC in 6 and 12 pulse doses + GC.	2/24 (8%)
	Guillevin, 2013	RCT	The mean (SD) followup was 32 (21) months	18 PAN patients	CYC in 6 and 12 pulse doses + GC.	2/18 (11%)
	Gayraud, 1997	RCT	Mean follow-up 60.82 (14.5) months	17 PAN patients	Oral or IV CYC + GC	1/17 (6%)
	Boki, 1997	Retrospective case-series	13 years	22 PAN patients	Oral or IV CYC + GC	3/22 (14%)
	Gupta, 1997	Retrospective case-series	9 years	17 PAN patients	IV CYC pulses + GC	3/17 (18%)
SAE was reported by one study with 22 patients and follow-up	Boki, 1997	Retrospective case-series	13 years	22 PAN patients	Oral or IV CYC + GC	44%

of 13 years and rate of 44%.						
------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

16. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of other non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

• **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

Author	Year	Title
M. Samson	2017	Microscopic polyangiitis and non-HBV polyarteritis nodosa with poor-prognosis factors: 10-year results of the prospective CHUSPAN trial
D. Eleftheriou	2013	Systemic polyarteritis nodosa in the young: a single-center experience over thirty-two years
L. Guillevin	2003	Treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and microscopic polyangiitis with poor prognosis factors: a prospective trial comparing glucocorticoids and six or twelve cyclophosphamide pulses in sixty-five patients
M. Gayraud	1997	Treatment of good-prognosis polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss syndrome: comparison of steroids and oral or pulse cyclophosphamide in 25 patients. French Cooperative Study Group for Vasculitides
K. A. Boki	1997	Necrotizing vasculitis in Greece: clinical, immunological and immunogenetic aspects. A study of 66 patients
R. Gupta	1997	Outcome of polyarteritis nodosa in northern India
A. S. Fauci	1979	Cyclophosphamide therapy of severe systemic necrotizing vasculitis

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
--------	------	-------	----------

K. A. Quinn	2018	Comparison of magnetic resonance angiography and (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in large-vessel vasculitis	Exclude. Not clear how many patients had PAN, and all PAN patients were in control group only.
Y. J. Oh	2017	Birmingham vasculitis activity score at diagnosis is a significant predictor of relapse of polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Mixed treatments, no analysis of treatments.
C. Pagnoux	2015	Treatment of systemic necrotizing vasculitides in patients aged sixty-five years or older: results of a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial of corticosteroid and cyclophosphamide-based induction therapy	Exclude. Most patients are not with PAN.
M. Samson	2014	Mononeuritis multiplex predicts the need for immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory drugs for EGPA, PAN and MPA patients without poor-prognosis factors	Exclude. Mixed population and treatments.
M. Samson	2014	Long-term follow-up of a randomized trial on 118 patients with polyarteritis nodosa or microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors	Exclude. Mixed populations and outcomes are not related to treatments.
C. Ribi	2010	Treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors: A prospective randomized study of one hundred twenty-four patients	Exclude. Mixed population.
D. Selga	2006	Polyarteritis nodosa when applying the Chapel Hill nomenclature--a descriptive study on ten patients	Exclude. Mixed and few patients.
C. Pagnoux	2005	Presentation and outcome of gastrointestinal involvement in systemic necrotizing vasculitides: analysis of 62 patients with polyarteritis nodosa, microscopic polyangiitis, Wegener granulomatosis, Churg-Strauss syndrome, or rheumatoid arthritis-associated vasculitis	Exclude. Mixed treatments.
M. Gordon	1993	Relapses in patients with a systemic vasculitis	Exclude. Mixed treatments.
S. H. Hawke	1991	Vasculitic neuropathy. A clinical and pathological study	Exclude. Mixed treatments.
R. D. Cohen	1980	Clinical features, prognosis, and response to treatment in polyarteritis	Exclude. Mixed treatments.
E. S. Leib	1979	Immunosuppressive and corticosteroid therapy of polyarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Mixed treatments.
G. Le Guenno	2011	Incidence and predictors of urotoxic adverse events in cyclophosphamide-treated patients with systemic necrotizing vasculitides	Exclude. Mixed patients.

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 7:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of plasmapheresis combined with cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids vs. cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hyperglycemia, bleeding)

17. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of plasmapheresis combined with cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids vs. cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events

18. Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	plasmapheresis combined with cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids	cyclophosphamide and glucocorticoids alone	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
Relapse												
1	randomised trials	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^b	none	4/28 (14.3%)	3/34 (8.8%)	OR 1.72 (0.35 to 8.44)	54 more per 1,000 (from 55 fewer to 361 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	
Mortality												
1	randomised trials	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^b	strong association	7/28 (25.0%)	4/34 (11.8%)	OR 2.50 (0.65 to 9.64)	132 more per 1,000 (from 38 fewer to 445 more)	⊕⊕○○ LOW	
Cure - No vasculitis activity after 18 months of no treatment												
1	randomised trials	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	very serious ^b	none	16/28 (57.1%)	22/34 (64.7%)	OR 0.73 (0.26 to 2.03)	75 fewer per 1,000 (from 324 fewer to 141 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	

CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio

Explanations

- a. There is no mention of the randomization process and of allocation concealment
- b. Clinical action would differ if the upper versus the lower boundary of the CI represented the truth, leading to very serious imprecision

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

Author	Year	Title
L. Guillevin	1995	Corticosteroids plus pulse cyclophosphamide and plasma exchanges versus corticosteroids plus pulse cyclophosphamide alone in the treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss syndrome patients with factors predicting poor prognosis. A prospective, randomized trial in sixty-two patients

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 8:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of using non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy (excluding cyclophosphamide) with glucocorticoids vs. glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
 - **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hyperglycemia, hepatotoxicity)
19. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of using non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy (excluding cyclophosphamide) with glucocorticoids vs. glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No comparative data available
20. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of using non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy (excluding cyclophosphamide) with glucocorticoids on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No single arm data available
21. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active and severe disease, what is the impact of using glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Survival outcome	Leib, 1979	Retrospective chart review		29 polyarteritis nodosa patients who received corticosteroids alone	Corticosteroids (prednisone, cortisone, methylprednisolone, betamethasone, ACTH) given at discretion of treating physician	Median survival time was 63 months. 5 year survival rate was 53%.	Indirect. May have included EGPA patients (based on older vasculitis classification criteria Other treatment group received cytoxan
	Cohen, 1980	Retrospective chart review	Mean 3.3 years	36 PAN patients who were treated with corticosteroids alone	Corticosteroids	Only 22 out of 36 patients were alive when last seen	Other treatment group received cytoxan

• **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

Author	Year	Title
R. D. Cohen	1980	Clinical features, prognosis, and response to treatment in polyarteritis
E. S. Leib	1979	Immunosuppressive and corticosteroid therapy of polyarteritis nodosa

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
M. Samson	2014	Long-term follow-up of a randomized trial on 118 patients with polyarteritis nodosa or microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors	Exclude. This study was a long term follow up study of a prospective randomized trial. And many patients were already treated with Cytoxan on follow up
S. H. Hawke	1991	Vasculitic neuropathy. A clinical and pathological study	Exclude. Some patients had received Cytoxan. Analysis also included patients with RA, lupus, EGPA, SS, GPA, cryo
L. Quint	1991	Hepatitis C virus in patients with polyarteritis nodosa. Prevalence in 38 patients	Exclude. Only 6 HBV negative PAN patients received steroids
M. Sack	1975	Prognostic factors in polyarteritis	Exclude. Study included "polyarteritis" patients. Cannot be classified as PAN
P. P. Frohnert	1967	Long-term follow-up study of periarteritis nodosa	Exclude. Study involved "periarteritis nodosa" and likely included EGPA patients

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 9:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN who have achieved remission with cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of transitioning to another non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive agent vs. continuing with cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
 - **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hepatotoxicity)
22. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN who have achieved remission with cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of transitioning to another non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive agent vs. continuing with cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No comparative data available
23. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN who have achieved remission with cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of transitioning to another non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive agent on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No single arm data available
24. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN who have achieved remission with cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of continuing with cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:
None
- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
M. Samson	2017	Microscopic polyangiitis and non-HBV polyarteritis nodosa with poor-prognosis factors: 10-year results of the prospective CHUSPAN trial	Exclude. Does not address PICO question. No maintenance therapy given/analyzed
C. Pagnoux	2015	Treatment of systemic necrotizing vasculitides in patients aged sixty-five years or older: results of a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial of corticosteroid and cyclophosphamide-based induction therapy	Exclude. Only has 3 PAN patients in experimental group, 7 PAN patients in control group. Analysis were done as collectively with GPA/EGPA/MPA/PAN
L. Guillevin	2003	Treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and microscopic polyangiitis with poor prognosis factors: a prospective trial comparing glucocorticoids and six or twelve cyclophosphamide pulses in sixty-five patients	Exclude. No maintenance therapy was given after Cytoxan induction therapy
M. Gayraud	1997	Treatment of good-prognosis polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss syndrome: comparison of steroids and oral or pulse cyclophosphamide in 25 patients. French Cooperative Study Group for Vasculitides	Exclude. No maintenance therapy was given after Cytoxan induction therapy
A. S. Fauci	1979	Cyclophosphamide therapy of severe systemic necrotizing vasculitis	Excluded. Study included "systemic necrotizing vasculitis" and not classified as PAN

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 10:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active disease and severe manifestations, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide vs. rituximab on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hepatotoxicity)

25. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active disease and severe manifestations, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide vs. rituximab on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

26. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active disease and severe manifestations, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Remission 56/75 (~75%) of PAN patients treated with CYC were able to achieve remission. Favors use of Cyc	Samson M, 2017	Prospective, randomized, multicenter	10 years	64 patients with non-HBV PAN or MPA with poor prognosis (based on FFS> or equal to 1) randomized to 12 (23 MPA, 10 PAN) or 6 (17 MPA, 14 PAN) pulses of CYC followed up after 10 years. Total of 24 PAN patients in trial. 11 patients lost to follow up	All patients rec'd pulse MP II15mg/kg/d) followed by pred 1mg/kg/d (progressively tapered). Randomized to either 12 or 6 CYC pulses (every 2 weeks for 1 month, then q 4 weeks) Remission=absence of disease activity attributable to vasculitis for 3 months with bvas of 0, not requiring being off or on a specified GC dose.	14 PAN patients received 6 IV pulses of CYC. 1/14 failed and 13/14 achieved remission 10 PAN patients received 12 pulses of IV cyc. 8/10 achieved remission, and 2 failed Total of 21/24(88%) PAN patients achieved remission with IV CYC	*11/64 patients lost to follow up
	Boki, 1997	Single center retrospective		Review of 36 GPA, 22 PAN, 7 EGPA patients. Evaluated demographics, immunogenetic background, treatment.	Treatment group 1: 19 PAN patients, monthly IV pulse CYC) Treatment group 2: 4 PAN patients (oral cyc)	9/22 patients with PAN treated with cyc (IV or oral) experienced remission at a median of 9-24 months.	

	Gupta, 1997	Single center retrospective	5 years (median)	17 patients with PAN (HBsAg negative)	Treated with oral pred 1mg/kg/d for 6 weeks and tapered over 6 mos. Monthly IV Cyc (15mg/kg) for first 6 months, followed by 3 monthly pulses for a total of 2 years	14/17 achieved remission after a median of 5 months	
	Gordon, 1993	Single center prospective	Median 33 months	150 patients with vasculitis (WG-28 limited GPA-15, PAN 12, MPA 95)	Treatment was either 3 doses IV steroid followed by oral CYC for 3-6 months, then aza OR pulse intermittent cyclophosphamide and pred IV x 3 doses switched to oral pred and continued for 18 months. Patients with pulm hem or diffuse crescentic GC were given plasma exchange as well. *Of the cPAN patients, 11/12 had the IV regimen	12/12 PAN patients achieved remission Remission=absence of clinical sx's of vasculitis, resolution of pulm changes or stable changes c/w scarring and stabilization or improvement of renal disease	
Relapse- 48 patients in total studies with 14 relapses (29% of PAN patients who achieve remission have a	Samson M, 2017	Prospective, randomized, multicenter	10 years	64 patients with non-HBV PAN or MPA with poor prognosis (based on FFS> or equal to 1) randomized to 12 (23 MPA, 10 PAN) or 6 (17 MPA, 14 PAN) pulses of CYC followed up after 10 years. Total of 24 PAN patients in trial. 11	All patients rec'd pulse MP II15mg/kg/d) followed by pred 1mg/kg/d (progressively tapered). Randomized to either 12 or 6 CYC pulses (every 2 weeks for 1 month, then q 4 weeks) Relapse=recurrence, worsening or new clinical PAN/MPA manifestations following at least 3 months in remission	Of the 13 PAN patients who achieved remission, 8 had sustained remission and 5 had relapses (in the 6 dose group). Of the 9 who achieved remission with 12 doses, 7 had sustained remission and 2 had relapses.	

relapse). Favors using cyc, but still signif relapse rate				patients lost to follow up		In total, 7 of the 22 (32%) PAN patients suffered from relapses	
	Eleftheri ou, 2013	Single center, retrospective		69 children with PAN (median age 8.5). Cutaneous PAN excluded. Followed for at least 1 year.	Demographics, labs, treatments, relapse, morbilities/SAEs reviewed and recorded 57/69 (83%) rec'd CYC— 33 oral and 24 IV. Pulse cyc was 500- 750mg/m2 for 6 doses monthly in 17 patients. In 10 patients regimen was 500-750mg/m2 q 14 days for 3 doses and then monthly for 2-4doses. Oral Cyc was given at 1- 2mg/kg/d for 2-4 months. All patients got IV pulse steroids of 30mg/kg/d x 3 days followed by taper of oral steroids over 12-28 months	Cumulative cyc dose associated with risk of relapse HR 0.895 (0.792- 0.998), p=0.005	
	Gupta, 1997	Single center retrospective	5 years (median)	17 patients with PAN (HBsAg negative)	Treated with oral pred 1mg/kg/d for 6 weeks and tapered over 6 mos. Monthly IV Cyc (15mg/kg) for first 6 months, followed by 3 monthly pulses for a total of 2 years	2 of the 14 who achieved remission experienced a relapse during follow up	
Gordon, 1993	Single center prospective	Median 33 months	150 patients with vasculitis (WG-28 limited GPA-15, PAN 12, MPA 95)	Treatment was either 3 doses IV steroid followed by oral CYC for 3-6 months, then aza OR	Relapses occurred in 5/12 (41.7%) of PAN patients after a median of 33 months (7-57)		

					<p>pulse intermittent cyclophosphamide and pred IV x 3 doses switched to oral pred and continued for 18 months. Patients with pulm hem or diffuse crescentic GC were given plasma exchange as well.</p> <p>*Of the cPAN patients, 11/12 had the IV regimen</p>	<p>Relapse= re-emergence of new clinical sx's of vasculitis or worsening original manifestations</p>	
<p>Death</p> <p>8/31 PAN patients tx's with CYC died</p>	<p>Samson M, 2017</p>	<p>Prospective, randomized, multicenter</p>	<p>10 years</p>	<p>64 patients with non-HBV PAN or MPA with poor prognosis (based on FFS > or equal to 1) randomized to 12 (23 MPA, 10 PAN) or 6 (17 MPA, 14 PAN) pulses of CYC followed up after 10 years. Total of 24 PAN patients in trial. 11 patients lost to follow up</p>	<p>All patients rec'd pulse MP II15mg/kg/d) followed by pred 1mg/kg/d (progressively tapered). Randomized to either 12 or 6 CYC pulses (every 2 weeks for 1 month, then q 4 weeks)</p>	<p>Of the total PAN patients treated with CYC (n=24), 5 died (4 in the 6 dose group)</p>	
	<p>Gupta, 1997</p>	<p>Single center retrospective</p>	<p>5 years (median)</p>	<p>17 patients with PAN (HBsAg negative)</p>	<p>Treated with oral pred 1mg/kg/d for 6 weeks and tapered over 6 mos. Monthly IV Cyc (15mg/kg) for first 6 months, followed by 3 monthly pulses for a total of 2 years</p>	<p>3/17 died</p>	
	<p>Gordon, 1993</p>	<p>Single center prospective</p>	<p>Median 33 months</p>	<p>150 patients with vasculitis (WG-28</p>	<p>Treatment was either 3 doses IV steroid followed by oral CYC for 3-6</p>	<p>0/12 with PAN died</p>	

				limited GPA-15, PAN 12, MPA 95)	months, then aza OR pulse intermittent cyclophosphamide and pred IV x 3 doses switched to oral pred and continued for 18 months. Patients with pulm hem or diffuse crescentic GC were given plasma exchange as well. *Of the cPAN patients, 11/12 had the IV regimen		
VDI 24 patients with VDi of 2.0 (no comparator group of VDI w/o tx). Favors use of CYC	Samson M, 2017	Prospective, randomized, multicenter	10 years	64 patients with non-HBV PAN or MPA with poor prognosis (based on FFS> or equal to 1) randomized to 12 (23 MPA, 10 PAN) or 6 (17 MPA, 14 PAN) pulses of CYC followed up after 10 years. Total of 24 PAN patients in trial. 11 patients lost to follow up	All patients rec'd pulse MP II15mg/kg/d) followed by pred 1mg/kg/d (progressively tapered). Randomized to either 12 or 6 CYC pulses (every 2 weeks for 1 month, then q 4 weeks)	Of the 24 PAN patients, VDI at 120 months was 2.0 +/-1.6	
Adverse Events (Major/Infection) 3/17 with TB (1 disseminated). Still	Gupta, 1997	Single center retrospective	5 years (median)	17 patients with PAN (HBsAg negative)	Treated with oral pred 1mg/kg/d for 6 weeks and tapered over 6 mos. Monthly IV Cyc (15mg/kg) for first 6 months, followed by 3 monthly pulses for a total of 2 years	2/17 developed pulmonary TB, 1 developed disseminated TB	

favors use of CYC							
Minor AEs 17 total patients, minor SEs, favors using CYC	Gupta, 1997	Single center retrospective	5 years (median)	17 patients with PAN (HBsAg negative)	Treated with oral pred 1mg/kg/d for 6 weeks and tapered over 6 mos. Monthly IV Cyc (15mg/kg) for first 6 months, followed by 3 monthly pulses for a total of 2 years	14/17 developed SE of GI intolerance, 7 had alopecia	
Disease Progression Of 22 PAN patients, 4 developed nephritis/renal failure and 7 developed mononeuritis. Does not fully favor use of Cyc	Boki, 1997	Single center retrospective		Review of 36 GPA, 22 PAN, 7 EGPA patients. Evaluated demographics, immunogenetic background, treatment.	Treatment group 1: 19 PAN patients, monthly IV pulse CYC Treatment group 2: 4 PAN patients (oral cyc)	4/22 developed nephritis/ renal failure and required dialysis 7/22 developed mononeuritis	

27. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN with active disease and severe manifestations, what is the impact of rituximab on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

• **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies: (9)

Author	Year	Title
M. Samson	2017	Microscopic polyangiitis and non-HBV polyarteritis nodosa with poor-prognosis factors: 10-year results of the prospective CHUSPAN trial
D. Eleftheriou	2013	Systemic polyarteritis nodosa in the young: a single-center experience over thirty-two years
K. A. Boki	1997	Necrotizing vasculitis in Greece: clinical, immunological and immunogenetic aspects. A study of 66 patients
R. Gupta	1997	Outcome of polyarteritis nodosa in northern India
M. Gordon	1993	Relapses in patients with a systemic vasculitis

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
C. Pagnoux	2015	Treatment of systemic necrotizing vasculitides in patients aged sixty-five years or older: results of a multicenter, open-label, randomized controlled trial of corticosteroid and cyclophosphamide-based induction therapy	Exclude: Only 3 patients with PAN and outcomes for that subgroup not separately reported
L. Guillevin	2003	Treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and microscopic polyangiitis with poor prognosis factors: a prospective trial comparing glucocorticoids and six or twelve cyclophosphamide pulses in sixty-five patients	Exclude: Same population as M. Samson 2017 (Microscopic polyangiitis and non-HBV polyarteritis with poor prognostic factors: 10-year results of the prospective CHUSPAN trial), without extra relevant data
M. Gayraud	1997	Treatment of good-prognosis polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss syndrome: comparison of steroids and oral or pulse cyclophosphamide in 25 patients. French Cooperative Study Group for Vasculitides	Exclude: Only included FFS of 0, so non-severe disease.
L. Guillevin	1988	Clinical findings and prognosis of polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss angiitis: a study in 165 patients	Exclude: Results reported for combination of EGPA and PAN and no clear separation in results of those who rec'd CYC as induction

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 11:** In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN in remission after remission induction therapy, what is the impact of a rapid taper of glucocorticoids (<6 months) vs. a slow taper (≥ 6 months) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., hyperglycemia), other glucocorticoid toxicity (e.g., decreased bone mineral density)

28. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN in remission after remission induction therapy, what is the impact of a rapid taper of glucocorticoids (<6 months) vs. a slow taper (≥ 6 months) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
 No comparative data available

29. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN in remission after remission induction therapy, what is the impact of a rapid taper of glucocorticoids (<6 months) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
 No single arm data available

30. In patients with newly-diagnosed PAN in remission after remission induction therapy, what is the impact of a slow taper (≥ 6 months) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
 No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:
None
- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
P. P. Frohnert	1967	Long-term follow-up study of periarteritis nodosa	Did not abstract data from article. Very unclear which patients achieved remission and what the actual steroid course length was in those who did

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 12:** In patients with newly diagnosed PAN with active and non-severe disease, what is the impact of adding of non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy to glucocorticoids vs. using glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hyperglycemia, decreased bone mineral density)

31. In patients with newly diagnosed PAN with active and non-severe disease, what is the impact of adding of non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy to glucocorticoids vs. using glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No comparative data available

32. In patients with newly diagnosed PAN with active and non-severe disease, what is the impact of adding of non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy to glucocorticoids on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Relapse 9/12 PAN patients tx'd with non-GC non-biologic IS had a relapse. Favors not using this intervention	Oh Y, 2017	Retrospective, single center	Mean follow up 64.1 months	30 patients with newly diagnosed PAN (6 with cutaneous PAN, 14 Hep B associated and 10 generalized idiopathic) followed for >12 months. Mean BVAS of 10.1 +/-9.5	Of the 30 PAN patients, induction with non biologic, non-GC agent was used in 7 patients (4 aza, 1 MTX, 1 mycophenolate, 1 colchicine). GC monotherapy was used for induction in 14	3/7 patients treated with non-GC, non-biologic IS did NOT relapse, 4/7 did relapse	*did not stratify tx strategy based on disease severity, so does not exactly answer the pico
	Selga, 2006	Retrospective, single center	Median follow up 14 years (14-45)	10 patients with PAN. 6 had FFS=0	Of the 6 PAN patients with FFS=0, 2 were treated with AZA (+GC) and 4 were treated with CYC (+GC)	Of the 5 PAN patients who achieved remission with CYC or AZA +GC, all of them suffered a relapse (after a mean of	

						3 years, range 0.7 years-6.8 years)	
Remission 5/6 achieved remission with non GC and non-biologic IS. Favors using the drug	Selga, 2006	Retrospective, single center	Median follow up 14 years (14-45)	10 patients with PAN. 6 had FFS=0	Of the 6 PAN patients with FFS=0, 2 were treated with AZA (+GC) and 4 were treated with CYC (+GC)	1/6 died and did not achieve remission (tx with cyc) 5/6 achieved remission	
Survival Poor data as noted in comments and not all in analysis were on the non-GC non-bio regeimen. However of 22 PAN pts, survival at 5 years was 80%	Leib, 1979	Retrospective, single center		64 patients with PAN with multisystem involvement. No cutaneous PAN (only). Confirmed by bx in 34, by autopsy in 13 and angiography in 10	Group 1: 8 received supportive therapy, Group 2: 34 received GC alone, Group 3: 22 received both GC + IS agent. *5 patients in group 2 and 1 patient in group 3 excluded from survival studies 2/2 insufficient length of therapy	Median survival in GC +other group was 149 months with 5 year survival of 80%. 16/22 were on non bio non GC med (14 aza, 1 6mp, 1 MTX)	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort

33. In patients with newly diagnosed PAN with active and non-severe disease, what is the impact of using glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

- Patient important outcomes:

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Remission 115 patients. 93 achieved remission with CS alone (80%). Favors using CS along	Samson 2014	Retrospective, multicenter	97.6 +/- 39.6 months	193 patients (75 EGPA, 61 MPA and 57 non-HBV PAN) with FFS=0. 86/193 required additional IS	All patients received CS alone as initial induction tx. 24/57 PAN patients required add on therapy.	48/57 (84%) of PAN patients achieved remission with CS alone. (remission >3 months)	Additional IS tx added only after relapse or failure (not up front)
	Ribi 2010	Prospective multicenter therapeutic trial	62+/-33 months	124 patients with newly diagnosed PAN (n=58) or MPA (n=66) (FFS of 0). No alveolar hemorrhage or severe renal impairment	Treatment with steroids alone. At time of treatment failure or relapse (or unable to wean pred below 20mg/d), patients were randomized to oral azathioprine 2mg/kg or cyclophosphamide 6 IV pulses (600mg/m2). One IV methyl pred pulse of 15mg/kg was allowed followed by dose of 1mg/kg/day for 3 weeks. Tapered by 5mg every 10 days to dose of 0.5mg/kg/day, then by 2.5mg every 10 days until a dose of 15mg/day, and finally by 1mg every 10 days to the minimal effective dose or when possible, until withdrawal	45/58 patient with PAN achieved remission with CS alone	
Death	Cohen, 1980	Retrospective, single center	At least 2 years.	53 patients with PAN. At least 2	36 treated with GC alone, 14 with combination of GC +cytotoxic agent (CYC	14/36 treated with GC alone died. 7 from active	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes

14/36 treated with GC alone died (of note they included severe AND non-severe PAN in their cohort). Does not favor intervention but weak data			Mean 3.3 years	organ systems involved.	n=9 or aza n=5), 3 with no tx. In those treated with GC, initial dose was >40mg/d. those who were started on steroids prior to evaluation at this center (n=19, were seen ~6 months prior). When activity of disease was controlled, the steroid dose was reduced to lowest possible (usually between 10-15mg/d)	vasculitis, 7 from other causes	of therapies in non-severe cohort
Survival 144 patients tx'd with GC alone, 5 year survival was 48-53%. Not very high. Does not favor intervention but included severe and non-severe PAN	Leib, 1979	Retrospective, single center		64 patients with PAN with multisystem involvement. No cutaneous PAN (only). Confirmed by bx in 34, by autopsy in 13 and angiography in 10	Group 1: 8 received supportive therapy, Group 2: 34 received GC alone, Group 3: 22 received both GC + IS agent. *5 patients in group 2 and 1 patient in group 3 excluded from survival studies 2/2 insufficient length of therapy	Median survival in GC alone was 63 months 5 year survival was 53% 22/34 GC only were on >50mg pred/d equivalent	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort
	Frohner t, 1967	Retrospective single center		Records of 130 patients with periarteritis nodosa seen at mayo from 1946-1962 reviewed	Intensive corticosteroid or ACTH therapy had been given to 110 of these patients. Usual course was 200mg cortisone/d for 6 weeks then decreased by 12.5 to 25mg every 4-5 days	5 year survival was 48% in those treated with GC (n=110)	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort

					until discontinued or maintenance dose established		
Side effects 18/110 had SE of hypercortisolism and 2 osteoporosis. Not bad SE profile. Poor data overall	Frohner t, 1967	Retrospective single center		Records of 130 patients with periarteritis nodosa seen at Mayo from 1946-1962 reviewed	Intensive corticosteroid or ACTH therapy had been given to 110 of these patients. Usual course was 200mg cortisone/d for 6 weeks then decreased by 12.5 to 25mg every 4-5 days until discontinued or maintenance dose established	18/110 patients on steroids had sx's of hypercortisolism and osteoporosis in 2	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort
Relapse 4/14 with GC monotherapy relapsed. Favors the intervention	Oh Y, 2017	Retrospective, single center	Mean follow up 64.1 months	30 patients with newly diagnosed PAN (6 with cutaneous PAN, 14 Hep B associated and 10 generalized idiopathic) followed for >12 months. Mean BVAS of 10.1 +/-9.5	Of the 30 PAN patients, induction with non biologic, non-GC agent was used in 7 patients (4 aza, 1 MTX, 1 mycophenolate, 1 colchicine). GC monotherapy was used for induction in 14	10/14 with GC monotherapy did not relapse, 4/14 did relapse	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
Y. J. Oh	2017	Birmingham vasculitis activity score at diagnosis is a significant predictor of relapse of polyarteritis nodosa	Did not evaluate treatment/medications differences based on BVAS (non severe disease)

M. Samson	2014	Mononeuritis multiplex predicts the need for immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory drugs for EGPA, PAN and MPA patients without poor-prognosis factors	Include
M. Samson	2014	Long-term follow-up of a randomized trial on 118 patients with polyarteritis nodosa or microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors	Same PAN population as 16597 so didn't re-abstract data. Additional IS tx added only after relapse or failure (not up front)
C. Ribi	2010	Treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors: A prospective randomized study of one hundred twenty-four patients	Include
D. Selga	2006	Polyarteritis nodosa when applying the Chapel Hill nomenclature--a descriptive study on ten patients	Include
R. D. Cohen	1980	Clinical features, prognosis, and response to treatment in polyarteritis	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort
E. S. Leib	1979	Immunosuppressive and corticosteroid therapy of polyarteritis nodosa	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort
P. P. Frohnert	1967	Long-term follow-up study of periarteritis nodosa	Includes severe and non-severe PAN. Did not differentiate outcomes of therapies in non-severe cohort

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 13:** In patients with PAN in remission on non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy, what is the impact of discontinuation of non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy after 18 months vs. continued treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hepatotoxicity)

34. In patients with PAN in remission on non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy, what is the impact of discontinuation of non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy after 18 months vs. continued treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

35. In patients with PAN in remission on non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy, what is the impact of discontinuation of non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy after 18 months on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No single arm data available

36. In patients with PAN in remission on non-glucocorticoid non-biologic immunosuppressive therapy, what is the impact of continued treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Glucocorticoid reduction – One study of 8 patients who received long term CYC or AZA showed that 5 were able to discontinue GC.	Fauci, 1979	Single Center, Retrospective study	Of the 8/17 that continued treatment for 18+ months follow up was 25 months – 5 years	17 patients with “systemic necrotizing vasculitis” 8/17 continued treatment (i.e., had been followed) for greater than 18 months.	7/8 received long term CYC 1/8 received AZA	5/8 were able to discontinue glucocorticoids during their course	Indirect Pre-ANCA, but most patients likely represent PAN phenotype
Death – One study of 8 patients who received long term CYC or AZA showed that 2 died over the	Fauci, 1979	Single Center, Retrospective study	Of the 8/17 that continued treatment for 18+ months follow up was 25 months – 5 years	17 patients with “systemic necrotizing vasculitis” 8/17 continued treatment (i.e., had been followed) for greater than 18 months.	7/8 received long term CYC 1/8 received AZA	2/8 died during the course (one after 2 years of pneumonia, one at 5 years due to end stage renal and hepatic failure)	Indirect Pre-ANCA, but most patients likely represent PAN phenotype

observatio n period.							
-------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
A. S. Fauci	1979	Cyclophosphamide therapy of severe systemic necrotizing vasculitis	Included for PAN PICO 13, Indirect

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 14** : In patients with PAN who has nerve and/or muscle involvement, what is the impact of physical therapy vs. no physical therapy on disease-related outcomes?
 - **Critical Outcomes:** patient reported outcomes (SF36, EQ-5D, CHQ, Patient global assessment, PROMIS, RAPID3, or MDHAQ)
37. In patients with PAN who has nerve and/or muscle involvement, what is the impact of physical therapy vs. no physical therapy on disease-related outcomes?
No comparative data available
38. In patients with PAN who has nerve and/or muscle involvement, what is the impact of physical therapy on disease-related outcomes?
No single arm data available
39. In patients with PAN who has nerve and/or muscle involvement, what is the impact of no physical therapy on disease-related outcomes?
No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None

- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:
None

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 15:** In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids alone, what is the impact of adding of cyclophosphamide vs. increasing the glucocorticoid dose alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hyperglycemia, decreased bone mineral density)

40. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids alone, what is the impact of adding of cyclophosphamide vs. increasing the glucocorticoid dose alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No comparative data available

41. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids alone, what is the impact of adding of cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Patient important outcomes:**

Outcomes (Name + Summary)	Author, year	Study type	Duration of follow up	Population (number and description)	Intervention used in relevant population (Describe the intervention)	Results	Comments
Remission – Two heterogeneous study populations with 35 patients total who received CYC for	Fauci, 1979	Single Center, retrospective study	Range 3 months to 5 years)	17 patients with “systemic necrotizing vasculitis” 16/17 patients had been receiving GC and had “clear cut subjective and objective evidence of progression of	16/17 received CYC 2mg/kg/d (titrated to maintain total neutrophil count no lower than 1000-1500 per mm ³) 1/17 received AZA	13/16 achieved received “complete remission” Mean duration of remission induced by CYC was 22 months (range two to 61 months)	Indirect Pre-ANCA study, but most patients represent PAN/medium vessel phenotype No definitions for “remission”

refractory PAN, show that ~70% of patients will achieve remission.				disease” when they entered the study (attn.: PICO population)			
	Ribi, 2010	Population is from a multicenter, prospective, randomized, open label trial in France and UK (1993-2005)	Mean 66 months for PAN population in total	58/124 had PAN (rest were MPA) – not distinguished at enrollment, only later by ANCA, histology, clinical features	19 patients (7 with PAN, 12 MPA) were randomized to CYC when GC alone failed All patients had FFS=0 initially All patients treated with GC alone initially and then if/when that failed patients were randomized to receive 6 months of IV CYC or AZA	13/19 patients achieved disease remission	Indirect Heterogenous population Complete remission was defined as the absence of clinical andbiologic manifestations of active vasculitis for at least 3 months
Reduction or cessation of glucocorticoids – One heterogenous study shows that around 2/3 of patients can reduce glucocorticoids if CYC is used in cases of refractory PAN.	Fauci, 1979	Single Center, retrospective study	Range 3 months to 5 years)	17 patients with “systemic necrotizing vasculitis” 16/17 patients had been receiving GC and had “clear cut subjective and objective evidence of progression of disease” when they entered the study (attn.: PICO population)	16/17 received CYC 2mg/kg/d (titrated to maintain total neutrophil count no lower than 1000-1500 per mm ³) 1/17 received AZA	11/16 patients were able to taper or cease use of glucocorticoids during their course (if patients were only “converted” to alternate day regimens, these were not counted)	Indirect Pre-ANCA study, but most patients represent PAN/medium vessel phenotype
	Ribi, 2010	Population is from a multicenter, prospective, randomized, open label trial in France and UK (1993-2005)	Mean 66 months for PAN population in total	58/124 had PAN (rest were MPA) – not distinguished at enrollment, only later by ANCA, histology, clinical features	19 patients (7 with PAN, 12 MPA) were randomized to CYC when GC alone failed All patients had FFS=0 initially All patients treated with GC alone initially and	4/13 who achieved remission had relapse (8 months, 19 months, 32 months, 63 months)	Indirect Heterogenous population Relapses were defined as therecurrence of at least 1 vasculitis manifestation.

					then if/when that failed patients were randomized to receive 6 months of IV CYC or AZA		
Death – Two heterogeneous study populations with 35 patients total who received CYC for refractory PAN demonstrate mortality rates between 19-32% over observation period.	Fauci, 1979	Single Center, retrospective study	Range 3 months to 5 years)	17 patients with “systemic necrotizing vasculitis” 16/17 patients had been receiving GC and had “clear cut subjective and objective evidence of progression of disease” when they entered the study (attn.: PICO population)	16/17 received CYC 2mg/kg/d (titrated to maintain total neutrophil count no lower than 1000-1500 per mm ³) 1/17 received AZA	3/16 died (one at 5 years of liver and kidney failure – disease in remission, one at 2 years due to pneumonia – disease in remission, one at 1 month from unknown cause – had leukopenia)	Indirect Pre-ANCA study, but most patients represent PAN/medium vessel phenotype
	Ribi, 2010	Population is from a multicenter, prospective, randomized, open label trial in France and UK (1993-2005)	Mean 66 months for PAN population in total	58/124 had PAN (rest were MPA) – not distinguished at enrollment, only later by ANCA, histology, clinical features	19 patients (7 with PAN, 12 MPA) were randomized to CYC when GC alone failed All patients had FFS=0 initially All patients treated with GC alone initially and then if/when that failed patients were randomized to receive 6 months of IV CYC or AZA	By end of follow up, 6/19 had died (5 of vasculitis, 1 of cardiac failure)	Indirect Heterogenous population
Adverse Events – One study with heterogeneous population of 19 patients	Ribi, 2010	Population is from a multicenter, prospective, randomized, open label trial in France and UK (1993-2005)	Mean 66 months for PAN population in total	58/124 had PAN (rest were MPA) – not distinguished at enrollment, only later by ANCA, histology, clinical features	19 patients (7 with PAN, 12 MPA) were randomized to CYC when GC alone failed All patients had FFS=0 initially All patients treated with GC alone initially and	Data available on only 10 patients randomized to CYC 4 – Infection 5 – ophtho complications 2 – Osteoporotic fractures 2 – Thrombotic events	Indirect Heterogenous population

that received CYC (and GC) for refractory PAN shows treatment related adverse events of CYC and GC.					then if/when that failed patients were randomized to receive 6 months of IV CYC or AZA		
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

42. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids alone, what is the impact of increasing the glucocorticoid dose alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

• **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
C. Ribi	2010	Treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors: A prospective randomized study of one hundred twenty-four patients	Included for PAN PICO 15, Heterogenous population of PAN and MPA based on convention at the time of enrollment
A. S. Fauci	1979	Cyclophosphamide therapy of severe systemic necrotizing vasculitis	

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
--------	------	-------	----------

M. Samson	2014	Mononeuritis multiplex predicts the need for immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory drugs for EGPA, PAN and MPA patients without poor-prognosis factors	Excluded for PAN PICO 15 single arm No data available at the level of PAN patients that got CYC (analysis is of all patients – EGPA/PAN/MPA - that got “add on” – half CYC/half AZA)
M. Gordon	1993	Relapses in patients with a systemic vasculitis	Exclude for PAN PICO 15, not a refractory population

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 16:** In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of adding plasmapheresis vs. increasing immunosuppression on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, bleeding, hepatotoxicity)

43. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of adding plasmapheresis vs. increasing immunosuppression on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

44. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of adding plasmapheresis on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

45. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and cyclophosphamide, what is the impact of increasing immunosuppression on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:
None
- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
G. de Luna	2015	Plasma exchanges for the treatment of severe systemic necrotizing vasculitides in clinical daily practice: Data from the French Vasculitis Study Group	Excluded for PAN PICO 16 single arm, Only 5/152 patients were PAN
M. Samson	2014	Mononeuritis multiplex predicts the need for immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory drugs for EGPA, PAN and MPA patients without poor-prognosis factors	Excluded for PAN PICO 16, only 3 PAN patients received PLEX, data not available at that level
M. Samson	2014	Long-term follow-up of a randomized trial on 118 patients with polyarteritis nodosa or microscopic polyangiitis without poor-prognosis factors	Excluded for PAN PICO 16, only 2 PAN or MPA patients received PLEX, data not available at that level
L. Guillevin	1992	Lack of superiority of steroids plus plasma exchange to steroids alone in the treatment of polyarteritis nodosa and Churg-Strauss syndrome. A prospective, randomized trial in 78 patients	Excluded from PAN PICO 16, not a refractory population

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 17:** In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and non-glucocorticoid nonbiologic immunosuppressive therapy (excluding cyclophosphamide), what is the impact of switching to cyclophosphamide vs. increasing glucocorticoid dose alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
 - **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hyperglycemia, hepatotoxicity)
46. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and non-glucocorticoid nonbiologic immunosuppressive therapy (excluding cyclophosphamide), what is the impact of switching to cyclophosphamide vs. increasing glucocorticoid dose alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No comparative data available
47. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and non-glucocorticoid nonbiologic immunosuppressive therapy (excluding cyclophosphamide), what is the impact of switching to cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

48. In patients with PAN with refractory disease on glucocorticoids and non-glucocorticoid nonbiologic immunosuppressive therapy (excluding cyclophosphamide), what is the impact of increasing glucocorticoid dose alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:
None
- Comparative observational studies:
None
- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:
None
- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
M. Gordon	1993	Relapses in patients with a systemic vasculitis	Excluded for PAN PICO 17, no relevant population to inform the PICO. All 12 PAN patients were receiving CYC.

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Treatment

- **PICO question 18:** In patients with PAN and Adenosine Deaminase 2 deficiency what is the impact of TNF-alpha inhibitors (e.g., infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab) versus glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
 - **Critical Outcomes:** disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, malignancy, infection, toxicity leading to discontinuation (e.g., leukopenia, hyperglycemia)
49. In patients with PAN and Adenosine Deaminase 2 deficiency what is the impact of TNF-alpha inhibitors (e.g., infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab) versus glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
No comparative data available

50. In patients with PAN and Adenosine Deaminase 2 deficiency what is the impact of TNF-alpha inhibitors (e.g., infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

51. In patients with PAN and Adenosine Deaminase 2 deficiency what is the impact of glucocorticoids alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

None

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comment
Caorsi	2017	ADA2 deficiency (DADA2) as an unrecognised cause of early onset polyarteritis nodosa and stroke: a multicentre national study	Exclude study, no data about treatment, although it is the population in question

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Monitoring

- **PICO question 19:** In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic but has newly elevated inflammatory markers without a clear etiology, what is the impact of vascular imaging (both invasive and non-invasive) vs. clinical assessment alone on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** radiation exposure, adverse reaction to contrast, disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, adverse reaction to sedation (if needed)

52. In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic but has newly elevated inflammatory markers without a clear etiology, what is the impact of vascular imaging (both invasive and non-invasive) vs. clinical assessment alone on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

53. In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic but has newly elevated inflammatory markers without a clear etiology, what is the impact of vascular imaging (both invasive and non-invasive) on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

54. In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic but has newly elevated inflammatory markers without a clear etiology, what is the impact of clinical assessment alone on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

None

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Monitoring

- **PICO question 20 :** In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic, what is the impact of routine vascular imaging (both invasive and non-invasive) every 6 months vs. vascular imaging only prompted by clinical symptoms/signs on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

- **Critical Outcomes:** radiation exposure, adverse reaction to contrast, disease activity, disease damage, relapse, death, adverse reaction to sedation (if needed)

55. In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic, what is the impact of routine vascular imaging (both invasive and non-invasive) every 6 months vs. vascular imaging only prompted by clinical symptoms/signs on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

56. In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic, what is the impact of routine vascular imaging (both invasive and non-invasive) every 6 months prompted by clinical symptoms/signs on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

57. In patients with a history of severe PAN who is clinically asymptomatic, what is the impact of vascular imaging only prompted by clinical symptoms/signs on disease-related outcomes and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

None

- Comparative observational studies:

None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

None

- Studies reviewed and excluded:

Author	Year	Title	Comments
P. Bouche	1986	Peripheral neuropathy in systemic vasculitis: clinical and electrophysiologic study of 22 patients	Excluded for PAN PICO 20. No relevant intervention to inform PICO.

POLYARTERITIS NODOSA (PAN)

Monitoring

- **PICO question 21:** In patients with a history of peripheral motor neuropathy secondary to PAN, what is the effect of routine EMG/NCS every 6 months vs. routine neurologic exam alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
- **Critical Outcomes:** adverse reaction to EMG/NCS, disease activity, neurologic damage, relapse, death

58. In patients with a history of peripheral motor neuropathy secondary to PAN, what is the effect of routine EMG/NCS every 6 months vs. routine neurologic exam alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No comparative data available

59. In patients with a history of peripheral motor neuropathy secondary to PAN, what is the effect of routine EMG/NCS every 6 months on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

60. In patients with a history of peripheral motor neuropathy secondary to PAN, what is the effect of routine neurologic exam alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

No single arm data available

- **References:**

- Randomized controlled trials:

 - None

- Comparative observational studies:

 - None

- Single arm studies and test accuracy studies:

 - None