

### Project Plan - August 2022

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

### **Core Oversight Team**

Sindhu R. Johnson, MD, PhD (Co-principal Investigator)
Elana J. Bernstein, MD, MSc (Co-principal Investigator)
Marcy B. Bolster, MD (Content Expert)
Jonathan H. Chung, MD (Content Expert)
Sonye Danoff, MD, PhD (Content Expert)
Michael George, MD, MSCE (Content Expert)
Dinesh Khanna, MD, MS (Content Expert)
Ilya Ivlev, MD, PhD, MBI (Co-Literature Review Leader)
Stacey Uhl (Co-Literature Review Leader)
Gordon Guyatt, MD (GRADE Expert)
Reza Mirza, MD (GRADE/Methodological Contributor)

#### **Literature Review Team**

Sandeep Agarwal, MD, PhD Danielle Antin-Ozerkis, MD Bradford Bemiss, MD Vaidehi Chowdhary, MBBS, MD, DM Jane E. Dematte D'Amico, MD Robert Hallowell, MD Alicia M. Hinze, MD, MHS Patil A. Injean, DO Nikhil Jiwrajka, MD Elena Joerns, MD Joyce Lee, MD Ashima Makol, MD Gregory McDermott, MD Jake G Natalini, MD Justin Oldham, MD, MS Didem Saygin, MD Kimberly Showalter Lakin, MD, MS Namrata Singh, MD, MSCI Joshua J. Solomon, MD Jeffrey Sparks, MD, MMSc Marat Turgunbaev, MD, MPH Samera Vaseer, MD

#### **ACR Board Liaison**

Marcy B. Bolster, MD

### **Voting Panel**

Rohit Aggarwal, MD, MS Shervin Assassi, MD, MS Lenore Buckley, MD, MPH Paul F. Dellaripa, MD Robyn T. Domsic, MD, MPH Tracy Doyle, MD Tracy M. Frech, MD, MS Monique E. Hinchcliff, MD, MS Cheilonda Johnson, MD, MHS Jeffrey P. Kanne, MD John S. Kim, MD Scott Matson, MD Zsuzsanna McMahan, MD, MHS Lee Shapiro, MD Christine D. Sharkey, MD Ross S. Summer, MD John Varga, MD

#### **Patient Panel**

**TBD** 

#### **ACR Staff**

Cindy Force Regina Parker Amy Turner



## Project Plan – August 2022

1	ORGANIZATIONAL LEADERSHIP AND SUPPORT
2	
3 4	This project is led and funded by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR).
5	BACKGROUND
6	Interstitial lung disease (ILD), a heterogeneous group of disorders characterized by inflammation
7	and fibrosis of the lung parenchyma, is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in people
8	with systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases (ARDs). Although all people with ARDs are at risk
9	for developing ILD, those with systemic sclerosis (SSc), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), mixed
10	connective tissue disease (MCTD), polymyositis/dermatomyositis (PM/DM), and Sjogren's
11	Syndrome (SS) are at the greatest risk (1,2) For example, ILD affects approximately 40-60% of
12	adults with SSc and is the leading cause of death and hospitalization in this population (3-6).
13	
14	Despite the life-threatening nature of ARD-ILD and the emerging potential of new therapies to
15	arrest disease progression, there are no clinical practice guidelines for ILD screening or
16	treatment in the ARDs. It is hypothesized that screening for ARD-ILD could lead to early
17	interventions to prevent or slow progression of this often lethal disease. Hence, there is an
18	urgent need to develop ARD-ILD screening, monitoring, and treatment guidelines.
19	
20	
21	OBJECTIVES
22	
23	The objective of this project is to develop a clinical practice guideline that includes evidence-
24	based consensus recommendations for clinicians who care for people with systemic
25	autoimmune rheumatic disease who are at risk for or have been diagnosed with interstitial lung
26	disease (ILD).
27	
20	Constitution II

28 Specifically, we aim to:

29

30

34

- 1. Develop recommendations regarding optimal screening tests to screen for ILD in people with specific ARDs.
- Develop recommendations for the monitoring of ARD-ILD (monitoring for both the
   development and progression of ARD-ILD).
- 33 3. Develop treatment recommendations for ARD-ILD.

### 35 THEMES OF PICO QUESTIONS

- The PICO (Population/patients, Intervention, Comparator, and Outcomes; see Appendix A) questions
- 37 developed for this guideline fall into 5 major categories:



### Project Plan – August 2022

- 1. Screening for ILD in people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD
- 39 2. Monitoring ILD progression and treatment complications
- 40 3. Treatment of ILD: first therapy
- 4. Treatment of ILD after ILD progression on first ILD therapy
- 42 5. Treatment of rapidly progressive ILD

43

### **METHODS**

44 45 46

### Identification of Studies

Literature search strategies, based on PICO questions, were drafted by a research librarian with input from the Core Team. Searches were performed in OVID Medline (1946 +), Embase (1974 +), and PubMed (mid-1960s +).

50 51

52

The search strategies were developed using the controlled vocabulary or thesauri language for each database: Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) for OVID Medline and PubMed; and Emtree terms for Embase. Text words were also used in OVID Medline, PubMed, and Embase.

535455

- Search Limits
- 56 Only English language articles will be retrieved.

57 58

- Literature Search Update
- 59 Literature searches will be updated just before the voting panel meeting to ensure completeness.

60 61

62

- Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
- Appendix A includes the project's PICO questions, which outline the defined patient population, interventions, comparators, and outcomes. Appendix B includes the list of inclusion/exclusion criteria.

63 64 65

66

67

68

69

70

71

### Management of Studies and Data

References and abstracts will be imported into bibliographic management software (EndNote) (7), duplicates removed, and exported to Distiller SR, a web-based systematic review manager (8). Screening and data abstraction forms will be created in Distiller SR. Search results will be divided among reviewers, and two reviewers will screen each title/abstract, with disagreements at the title/abstract screening stage defaulting to inclusion for full manuscript review. Following the same dual review process, disagreements at the full manuscript screening stage will be discussed and adjudicated by the literature review leadership, if necessary.

72 73 74

75

76

### Phases

1. A search for randomized controlled trials and observational studies will be performed to determine existing studies covering outcomes of interest.



### Project Plan – August 2022

- 2. Additionally, recently published systematic reviews covering outcomes of interest will also be sought and used for reference cross-checking.
- 3. Chosen studies will be quality-assessed using the Instrument to assess the Credibility of Effect Modification Analyses.
- 4. Subsequently, identified studies will be assessed using the RevMan (10) and GRADE Pro tools (11).

### GRADE Methodology

GRADE methodology will be used in this project to grade available evidence and facilitate development of recommendations. The certainty in the evidence (also known as 'quality' of evidence) will be graded as high, moderate, low or very low. The recommendations will have a strength, strong or conditional, and a direction, as in favor of or against the intervention. The strength of recommendations will not depend solely on the certainty in the evidence, but also on patient preferences and values, and the weight between benefits and harms. A series of articles that describe the GRADE methodology can be found on the GRADE working group's website: www.gradeworkinggroup.org.

### Data Analysis and Synthesis

The literature review team will analyze and synthesize data from included studies that address the PICO questions. An evidence profile, including a GRADE Summary of Findings table, will be prepared for each PICO question using Review Manager (RevMan) (10) and GRADEprofiler (GRADEpro) software (11). The Summary of Findings table contains the benefits and harms for each outcome across studies, the assumed and corresponding risk for comparators and interventions (95% CI), the absolute risk and relative effect (95% CI), the number of participants/number of studies, and the certainty in the evidence for each critical and important outcome (i.e., high, moderate, low or very low).

The evidence profile documents the overall certainty in the evidence for each critical and important outcome across studies and summarizes the rationale of the GRADE criteria for downgrading (risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias), or upgrading the certainty in a body of evidence (large magnitude of effect, dose-response gradient, and all plausible confounding that would reduce a demonstrated effect).

#### **Development of Recommendation Statements**

PICO questions will be revised into drafted recommendation statements. Using the GRADE Evidence Profiles and Summaries of Findings tables, the voting panel, consisting of 11 rheumatologists, 5 pulmonologists, 1 radiologist, and at least 2 patients with rheumatic disease-associated ILD (specifically, ILD associated with either systemic sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, mixed connective tissue disease, dermatomyositis, polymyositis, or Sjogren's syndrome), will consider the drafted recommendation statements in two stages. The first assessment will be done individually, and the results will be



### Project Plan – August 2022

118	anonymous; this vote will only be used to determine where consensus might or might not already exist
119	and develop an agenda for a virtual voting panel meeting. At the virtual voting panel meeting, chaired by
120	the principal investigators, the panelists will discuss the evidence in the context of their clinical
121	experience and expertise to arrive at consensus on the final recommendations. The voting panel meeting
122	discussions will be supported by the GRADE expert as well as the literature review leader, who will attend
123	the meeting to provide details about the evidence, as requested. Voting panel discussions and decisions
124	will also be informed by a separately convened patient panel, which will meet in the days before the
125	voting panel meeting, to provide unique patient perspectives on the drafted recommendations based on
126	their experiences and the available literature.
127	
128	PLANNED APPENDICES (AT MINIMUM)
129	
130	A. Final literature search strategies
131	B. Inclusion/exclusion criteria

C. GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables for each PICO question

#### **AUTHORSHIP**

Authorship of the guideline will include co-principal investigators Sindhu R. Johnson, MD, PhD, and Elana J. Bernstein, MD, MSc; co-literature review leaders Ilya Ivlev, MD, PhD, MBI and Stacey Uhl; MS content experts Marcy B. Bolster, MD, Jonathan H. Chung, MD, Sonye Danoff, MD, PhD, Michael George, MD, MSCE, and Dinesh Khanna, MD; and Gordon Guyatt, MD, GRADE expert, and Reza Mirza, MD, GRADE/Methodological Contributor. Members of the voting panel and literature review team will also be authors. The PIs will determine final authorship, dependent on the efforts made by individuals throughout the guideline development process, using international authorship standards as guidance.

#### **DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The ACR's disclosure and COI policies for guideline development will be followed for this project. These can be found in the ACR Guideline Manual on this page of the ACR web site, under Policies & Procedures. See Appendix D for participant disclosures.

#### REFERENCES

 Fischer A, Strek ME, Cottin V, et al. Proceedings of the American College of Rheumatology/Association of Physicians of Great Britain and Ireland Connective Tissue Disease-Associated Interstitial Lung Disease Summit: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Address Challenges and Opportunities. Arthritis & rheumatology 2019;71:182-95.



2. Fischer A, du Bois R. Interstitial lung disease in connective tissue disorders. Lancet

157

176

## Project Plan – August 2022

158		2012;380:689-98.
159	3.	Steen VD, Medsger TA. Changes in causes of death in systemic sclerosis, 1972-2002. Annals of
160		the rheumatic diseases 2007;66:940-4.
161	4.	Tyndall AJ, Bannert B, Vonk M, et al. Causes and risk factors for death in systemic sclerosis: a
162		study from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) database. Annals of the
163		rheumatic diseases 2010;69:1809-15.
164	5.	Suliman YA, Dobrota R, Huscher D, et al. Brief Report: Pulmonary Function Tests: High Rate of
165		False-Negative Results in the Early Detection and Screening of Scleroderma-Related Interstitial
166		Lung Disease. Arthritis & rheumatology 2015;67:3256-61.
167	6.	Steen VD, Conte C, Owens GR, Medsger TA, Jr. Severe restrictive lung disease in systemic
168		sclerosis. Arthritis and rheumatism 1994;37:1283-9.
169	7.	EndNote [software]. <a href="https://endnote.com">https://endnote.com</a>
170	8.	DistillerSR. Ottawa, Canada: Evidence Partners; 2013. <a href="http://systematic-review.net/">http://systematic-review.net/</a>
171	9.	Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)
172		for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. 2010. Available:
173		http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp
174	10.	Review Manager [software]. https://training.cochrane.org/online-learning/core-software-
175		cochrane-reviews/revman

11. GRADEprofiler [software]. <a href="https://gradepro.org/">https://gradepro.org/</a>



ARDs included in this project

177

212

## Project Plan – August 2022

178	1.	Systemic sclerosis
179	2.	Rheumatoid arthritis
180	3.	Inflammatory myopathy
181	4.	Sjogren's Syndrome
182	5.	Mixed connective tissue disease
183		
184		
185	SUMN	IARY OF PICO QUESTIONS
186		
187		ning PICO questions:
188	•	ple with ARD at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of each of the following tests on
189	diagno	ostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
190	•	PFTs vs. history/physical
191	•	High resolution CT thorax vs. history/physical
192	•	6-minute walk test distance vs. history/physical
193	•	Chest radiograph vs. history/physical
194	•	Ambulatory desaturation vs. history/physical
195	•	Chest radiograph vs. high resolution CT thorax
196	•	PFTs vs. ambulatory desaturation
197	•	High resolution CT thorax vs. PFTs
198	•	High resolution CT thorax and PFTs vs. PFTs alone
199	•	Bronchoscopy vs. no bronchoscopy
200	•	Surgical lung biopsy vs. no surgical lung biopsy
201		
202	Monit	oring PICO questions:
203	•	ple with ARD who also have ILD, what is the impact of each of the following tests on
204	respor	nsiveness/sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse
205	events	and testing-related adverse events?
206	•	PFTs vs. history/physical
207	•	High resolution CT thorax vs. history/physical
208	•	6-minute walk test distance vs. history/physical
209	•	Chest radiograph vs. history/physical
210	•	Ambulatory desaturation vs. history/physical
211	•	Chest radiograph vs. high resolution CT thorax

Bronchoscopy vs. no bronchoscopy



## Project Plan – August 2022

213	High resolution CT thorax vs. bronchoscopy
214	PFTs vs. 6-minute walk test distance
215	PFTs and 6-minute walk test distance vs. PFTs alone
216	PFTs vs. ambulatory desaturation
217	PFTs and high resolution CT thorax vs. PFTs alone
218	6-minute walk test distance vs. ambulatory desaturation
219	
220	Medical management – 1st ILD therapy PICO questions:
221	In people with ARD who also have ILD, what is the impact of each of the following therapies as first line ILD
222	treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
223	Mycophenolate vs. no mycophenolate
224	<ul> <li>Cyclophosphamide vs. no cyclophosphamide (I/V or oral)</li> </ul>
225	Leflunomide vs. no leflunomide
226	Methotrexate vs. no methotrexate
227	Azathioprine vs. no azathioprine
228	<ul> <li>Calcineurin inhibitors vs. no calcineurin inhibitors</li> </ul>
229	<ul> <li>Anti-TNF therapy vs. no anti-TNF therapy</li> </ul>
230	Abatacept vs. no abatacept
231	<ul> <li>Anti-CD20 antibody vs. no anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
232	<ul> <li>IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. no IL-6 receptor antagonists</li> </ul>
233	JAK inhibitors vs. no JAK inhibitors
234	<ul> <li>Daily oral prednisone vs. no daily oral prednisone</li> </ul>
235	<ul> <li>IV pulse glucocorticoids vs. no IV pulse glucocorticoids</li> </ul>
236	Nintedanib vs. no nintedanib
237	Pirfenidone vs. no pirfenidone
238	IVIG vs. no IVIG
239	Plasma exchange vs. no plasma exchange
240	<ul> <li>Adding nintedanib to mycophenolate vs. not adding nintedanib to mycophenolate</li> </ul>
241	<ul> <li>Adding pirfenidone to mycophenolate vs. not adding pirfenidone to mycophenolate</li> </ul>
242	<ul> <li>Upfront combination of nintedanib with mycophenolate vs. mycophenolate alone</li> </ul>
243	<ul> <li>Upfront combination of pirfenidone with mycophenolate vs. mycophenolate alone</li> </ul>
244	Methotrexate vs. mycophenolate
245	Leflunomide vs. mycophenolate
246	Azathioprine vs. mycophenolate
247	I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. mycophenolate

Calcineurin inhibitors vs. mycophenolate



## Project Plan – August 2022

250	•	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. mycophenolate
251	•	
		Anti-CD20 antibody vs. mycophenolate
252	•	Abatacept vs. mycophenolate
253	•	JAK inhibitors vs. mycophenolate
254	•	Nintedanib vs. mycophenolate
255	•	Pirfenidone vs. mycophenolate
256	•	IVIG vs. mycophenolate
257	•	Oral prednisone vs. mycophenolate
258	•	Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. mycophenolate
259	•	Plasma exchange vs. mycophenolate
260	•	Methotrexate vs. anti-CD20 antibody
261	•	Leflunomide vs. anti-CD20 antibody
262	•	Azathioprine vs. anti-CD20 antibody
263	•	I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. anti-CD20 antibody
264	•	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody
265	•	TNF inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody
266	•	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. anti-CD20 antibody
267	•	Abatacept vs. anti-CD20 antibody
268	•	JAK inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody
269	•	Nintedinib vs. anti-CD20 antibody
270	•	Pirfenidone vs. anti-CD20 antibody
271	•	IVIG vs. anti-CD20 antibody
272	•	Oral prednisone vs. anti-CD20 antibody
273	•	Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. anti-CD20 antibody
274	•	Plasma exchange vs. anti-CD20 antibody
275	•	Methotrexate vs. azathioprine
276	•	Leflunomide vs. azathioprine
277	•	I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. azathioprine
278	•	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. azathioprine
279	•	TNF inhibitors vs. azathioprine
280	•	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. azathioprine
281		Abatacept vs. azathioprine
282	•	JAK inhibitors vs. azathioprine
283	•	Nintedinib vs. azathioprine

• TNF inhibitors vs. mycophenolate

249



## Project Plan – August 2022

284	•	Pirrenidone vs. azatnioprine
285	•	IVIG vs. azathioprine
286	•	Oral prednisone vs. azathioprine
287	•	Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. azathioprine
288	•	Plasma exchange vs. azathioprine
289	•	Methotrexate vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
290	•	Leflunomide vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
291	•	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
292	•	TNF inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
293	•	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
294	•	Abatacept vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
295	•	JAK inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
296	•	Nintedinib vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
297	•	Pirfenidone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
298	•	IVIG vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
299	•	Oral prednisone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
300	•	Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
301	•	Plasma exchange vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide
302	•	Nintedanib vs. IL-6 receptor antagonists
303	•	Referral for stem cell transplant vs. optimal medical management
304	•	Referral for lung transplant vs. optimal medical management
305		
306		al management – ILD progression on any 1st ILD therapy PICO questions
307		) patients with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding each of the following
308	therap	pies on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
309	•	Combination of nintedanib and mycophenolate vs. mycophenolate alone
310	•	Combination of pirfenidone and mycophenolate vs. mycophenolate alone
311	•	Methotrexate vs. mycophenolate
312	•	Leflunomide vs. mycophenolate
313	•	Azathioprine vs. mycophenolate
314	•	I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. mycophenolate
315	•	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. mycophenolate
316	•	TNF inhibitors vs. mycophenolate
317	•	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. mycophenolate

• Anti-CD20 antibody vs. mycophenolate

• Abatacept vs. mycophenolate

318



## Project Plan – August 2022

320	•	JAK inhibitors vs. mycophenolate
321	•	Nintedinib vs. mycophenolate
322	•	Pirfenidone vs. mycophenolate
323	•	IVIG vs. mycophenolate
324	•	Oral prednisone vs. mycophenolate
325	•	Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. mycophenolate
326	•	Plasma exchange vs. mycophenolate
327	•	Methotrexate vs. anti-CD20 antibody
328	•	Leflunomide vs. anti-CD20 antibody
329	•	Azathioprine vs. anti-CD20 antibody
330	•	I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. anti-CD20 antibody
331	•	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody
332	•	TNF inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody
333	•	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. anti-CD20 antibody
334	•	Abatacept vs. anti-CD20 antibody
335	•	JAK inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody
336	•	Nintedinib vs. anti-CD20 antibody
337	•	Pirfenidone vs. anti-CD20 antibody
338	•	IVIG vs. anti-CD20 antibody
339	•	Oral prednisone vs. anti-CD20 antibody
340	•	Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. anti-CD20 antibody
341	•	Plasma exchange vs. anti-CD20 antibody
342	•	Methotrexate vs. azathioprine
343	•	Leflunomide vs. azathioprine
344	•	I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. azathioprine
345	•	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. azathioprine
346	•	TNF inhibitors vs. azathioprine
347	•	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. azathioprine
348	•	Abatacept vs. azathioprine
349	•	JAK inhibitors vs. azathioprine
350	•	Nintedinib vs. azathioprine
351	•	Pirfenidone vs. azathioprine
352	•	IVIG vs. azathioprine
353	•	Oral prednisone vs. azathioprine

• Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. azathioprine



## Project Plan – August 2022

356	Methotrexate vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
357	Leflunomide vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
358	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
359	TNF inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide  TNF inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
360	IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
361	Abatacept vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
362	JAK inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
363	Nintedinib vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide  Nintedinib vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
364	Pirfenidone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
365	IVIG vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
366	Oral prednisone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide  Oral prednisone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
367	• Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
368	Plasma exchange vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
369	Referral for stem cell transplant vs. optimal medical management	
370	Referral for lung transplant vs. optimal medical management	
371	371	
372	Medical management – rapidly progressive ILD PICO questions	
373	1 11 0 1	•
374	rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-re	lated adverse events?
375	Daily oral prednisone vs. no daily oral prednisone	
376		
377	Nintedanib vs. no nintedanib	
378	Pirfenidone vs. no pirfenidone	
379	<ul> <li>Adding nintedanib to mycophenolate vs. not adding nintedanib to mycopl</li> </ul>	nenolate
380	<ul> <li>Adding pirfenidone to mycophenolate vs. not adding pirfenidone to myco</li> </ul>	phenolate
381	<ul> <li>Upfront combination of nintedanib with mycophenolate vs. mycophenola</li> </ul>	te alone
382	<ul> <li>Upfront combination of pirfenidone with mycophenolate vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>	ate alone
383	Methotrexate vs. mycophenolate	
384	Leflunomide vs. mycophenolate	
385	Azathioprine vs. mycophenolate	
386	I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. mycophenolate	
387	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. mycophenolate	
388	TNF inhibitors vs. mycophenolate  TNF inhibitors vs. mycophenolate	

• IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. mycophenolate

• Anti-CD20 antibody vs. mycophenolate

• Plasma exchange vs. azathioprine

355

389



## Project Plan – August 2022

331	Abatacept vs. mycophenolate
392	<ul> <li>JAK inhibitors vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>
393	<ul> <li>Nintedinib vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>
394	<ul> <li>Pirfenidone vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>
395	<ul> <li>IVIG vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>
396	<ul> <li>Oral prednisone vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>
397	<ul> <li>Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>
398	<ul> <li>Plasma exchange vs. mycophenolate</li> </ul>
399	<ul> <li>Methotrexate vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
400	<ul> <li>Leflunomide vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
401	<ul> <li>Azathioprine vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
402	<ul> <li>I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
403	<ul> <li>Calcineurin inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
404	<ul> <li>TNF inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
405	<ul> <li>IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
406	<ul> <li>Abatacept vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
407	<ul> <li>JAK inhibitors vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
408	<ul> <li>Nintedinib vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
409	<ul> <li>Pirfenidone vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
410	<ul> <li>IVIG vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
411	<ul> <li>Oral prednisone vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
412	<ul> <li>Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
413	<ul> <li>Plasma exchange vs. anti-CD20 antibody</li> </ul>
414	<ul> <li>Methotrexate vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
415	<ul> <li>Leflunomide vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
416	<ul> <li>I/V or oral cyclophosphamide vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
417	<ul> <li>Calcineurin inhibitors vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
418	<ul> <li>TNF inhibitors vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
419	<ul> <li>IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
420	<ul> <li>Abatacept vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
421	<ul> <li>JAK inhibitors vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
422	<ul> <li>Nintedinib vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
423	<ul> <li>Pirfenidone vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
424	<ul> <li>IVIG vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>
425	<ul> <li>Oral prednisone vs. azathioprine</li> </ul>

• Abatacept vs. mycophenolate

391



Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. azathioprine

426

452453454

427	Plasma exchange vs. azathioprine	
428	Methotrexate vs. cyclophosphamide	
429	Leflunomide vs. cyclophosphamide	
430	Calcineurin inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
431	TNF inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
432	<ul> <li>of IL-6 receptor antagonists vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide</li> </ul>	
433	Abatacept vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
434	JAK inhibitors vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
435	Nintedinib vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
436	Pirfenidone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
437	IVIG vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
438	Oral prednisone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
439	Intravenous methylprednisolone vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
440	Plasma exchange vs. I/V or oral cyclophosphamide	
441	<ul> <li>Dual combination therapy* vs. monotherapy†</li> </ul>	
442	<ul> <li>Triple combination therapy‡ vs. monotherapy†</li> </ul>	
443	<ul> <li>Triple combination therapy‡ vs. dual combination therapy*</li> </ul>	
444	<ul> <li>IVIG and/or plasma exchange in addition to monotherapy†, dual combination therapy*, or tr</li> </ul>	iple
445	combination therapy‡ vs. monotherapy†, dual combination therapy*, or triple combination t	:herapy‡
446	alone	
447	• Antifibrotic (e.g., nintedanib or pirfenidone) in addition to monotherapy†, dual combination	therapy*,
448	or triple combination therapy‡ vs. monotherapy†, dual combination therapy*, or triple comb	ination
449	therapy‡ alone	
450	<ul> <li>Referral for stem cell transplant vs. optimal medical management</li> </ul>	
451	Referral for lung transplant vs. optimal medical management	



### Project Plan – August 2022

### APPENDIX A – PICO Questions

## 

### Screening for ILD in people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD

1. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of pulmonary function tests (PFTs) compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

2. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of high resolution CT thorax compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

3. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of 6-minute walk test distance compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

4. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact chest radiograph compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

5. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of ambulatory desaturation compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

6. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of chest radiograph compared to high resolution CT thorax on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

7. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of pulmonary function tests (PFTs) compared to ambulatory desaturation on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?



### Project Plan – August 2022

492	8.	In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of high
493		resolution CT thorax compared to PFTs on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes,
494		and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

- In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of high
   resolution CT thorax and PFTs compared to PFTs alone on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related
   outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
  - 10. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of bronchoscopy (may include broncho-alveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy, cryobiopsy) compared to no bronchoscopy (may include broncho-alveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy, cryobiopsy) on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?
  - 11. In people with rheumatic disease at increased risk of developing ILD, what is the impact of surgical lung biopsy compared to no surgical lung biopsy on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related adverse events?

### Monitoring disease progression and treatment complications

- 12. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of pulmonary function tests (PFTs) compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on responsiveness/sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
- 13. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of high resolution CT thorax compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on responsiveness/sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
- 14. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of 6-minute walk test distance compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination: crackles on auscultation) on responsiveness/sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
- 15. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of chest radiograph compared to history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physical examination:



## Project Plan – August 2022

527	crackles on auscultation) on responsiveness/sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related
528	outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
529	
530	16. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact ambulatory desaturation compared to
531	history/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physician examination:
532	crackles on auscultation) on responsiveness/sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related
533	outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
534	
535	17. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of chest radiograph compared to high
536	resolution CT thorax on diagnostic accuracy, disease-related outcomes, and diagnostic testing-related
537	adverse events?
538	10 la secole distribute disease disease distribute in the import of horselesses. (see include has abo
539	18. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of bronchoscopy (may include bronchoscopy alvedor layers transference in layers are proposed to no bronchoscopy (may include
540 541	alveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy, cryobiopsy) compared to no bronchoscopy (may include broncho-alveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy, cryobiopsy) on responsiveness/ sensitivity to change o
	the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related
	adverse events?
544	auverse events:
545	19. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of high resolution CT thorax compared to
546	bronchoscopy (may include broncho-alveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy, cryobiopsy) on
547	responsiveness/ sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious
548	adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
549	
550	20. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of PFTs compared to 6-minute walk test
551	distance on responsiveness/ sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-
related serious adverse events and testing	related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
553	
554	21. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of PFTs and 6-minute walk test distance
555	compared to PFTs alone on responsiveness/ sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related
556	outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
557	
558	22. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of PFTs compared to ambulatory
559	desaturation on responsiveness/ sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatmen
560	related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?



## Project Plan – August 2022

562 563 564 565	23. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of PFTs and high resolution CT thorax compared to PFTs alone on responsiveness/ sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
566 567 568 569	24. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of 6-minute walk test distance compared to ambulatory desaturation on responsiveness/ sensitivity to change of the test, disease-related outcomes, treatment-related serious adverse events and testing-related adverse events?
570 571	Medical Management Rheumatic disease 1 <sup>st</sup> ILD therapy
<ul><li>572</li><li>573</li><li>574</li><li>575</li><li>576</li></ul>	25. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of mycophenolate compared to no mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
577 578 579 580	26. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide compared to no cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
581 582 583 584	27. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to no leflunomide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
585 586 587 588	28. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to no methotrexate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
589 590 591 592	29. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of azathioprine compared to no azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
593	30. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to no

calcineurin inhibitors as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related

594

595

596

adverse events?



## Project Plan – August 2022

597 598 599 600	31.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of anti-TNF therapy compared to no anti-TNF therapy as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
601 602 603	32.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to no abatacept as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
604 605 606 607 608	33.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) compared to no anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
609 610 611 612	34.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to no IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
613 614 615 616	35.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors compared to no JAK inhibitors as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
617 618 619 620	36.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of daily oral prednisone compared to no daily oral prednisone as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
621 622 623 624	37.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IV pulse glucocorticoids compared to no IV pulse glucocorticoids first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
625 626 627	38.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of nintedanib compared to no nintedanib as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
628 629 630	39.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to no pirfenidone as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?



## Project Plan – August 2022

632 633 634	40. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to no IVIG as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
635 636 637 638	41. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to no plasma exchange as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
639 640 641 642	42. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD without ILD progression, what is the impact of adding nintedanib to mycophenolate compared to not adding nintedanib to mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
643 644 645 646	43. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD without ILD progression, what is the impact of adding pirfenidone to mycophenolate compared to not adding pirfenidone to mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
647 648 649 650	44. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of upfront combination of nintedanib with mycophenolate compared to mycophenolate alone as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
651 652 653 654	45. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of upfront combination of pirfenidone with mycophenolate compared to mycophenolate alone as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
655 656 657 658	46. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
659 660 661 662	47. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
663 664 665 666	48. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of azathioprine compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
667	19. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide compared to

mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse



## Project Plan – August 2022

669 670	events?
671 672 673 674	50. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
675 676 677 678	51. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
679 680 681 682	52. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
683 684 685 686	53. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
687 688 689	54. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
690 691 692 693	55. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
694 695 696 697	56. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
698 699 700 701	57. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
702	58. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to mycophenolate as

first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?



### Project Plan – August 2022

	<b>.</b>
705 706 707 708	59. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
709 710 711 712	60. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of intravenous methylprednisolone compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
713 714 715 716	61. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to mycophenolate as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
717 718 719 720	62. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
721 722 723 724	63. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
725 726 727 728	64. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of azathioprine compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
729 730 731 732	65. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide compared to anti- CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
733	66. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to anti-

734

735736

737

738739

740

CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on

67. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors compared to anti-CD20

antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-

disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?



741 742	68. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as
	first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
743 744	This time IED treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events:
744	69. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to anti-CD20
745 746	antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-
740 747	related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
747 748	related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events:
749	70. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors compared to anti-CD20
750	antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-
751	related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
752	
753	71. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib compared to anti-CD20
754	antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-
755	related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
756	
757	72. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to anti-CD20
758	antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-
759	related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
760	
761	73. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to anti-CD20 antibody
762	(rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related
763	outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
764	
765	74. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone compared to anti-CD20
766	antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-
767	related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
768	
769	75. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of intravenous methylprednisolone
770	compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD
771	treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
772	
773	76. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to anti-
774	CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line ILD treatment on
775	disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
776	



## Project Plan – August 2022

777 778 779 780	77. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
781 782 783	78. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
784 785 786 787	79. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
788 789 790 791	80. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
792 793 794 795	81. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
796 797 798 799	82. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
800 801 802	83. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
803 804 805 806	84. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
807 808 809	85. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

86. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to azathioprine

as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

810



813 814	87	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
815		
816	88	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone compared to
817		azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse
818		events?
819		
820	89	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of intravenous methylprednisolone
821		compared to azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-
822		related adverse events?
823		
824	90	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to
825		azathioprine as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse
826		events?
827		
828	91	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to
829		cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related
830		adverse events?
831		
832	92	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to
833		cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related
834		adverse events?
835		
836	93	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to
837		cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related
838		adverse events?
839		
840		. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors compared to
841		cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related
842		adverse events?
843		
844	95	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab
845		sarilumab) compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and
846		treatment-related adverse events?
847		
848	96	. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to
849		cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related



## Project Plan – August 2022

850 851		adverse events?
852 853 854 855	97.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
856 857 858 859	98.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
860 861 862 863	99.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
864 865 866	100.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
867 868 869 870	101.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
871 872 873 874	102.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of intravenous methylprednisolone compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
875 876 877 878	103.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to cyclophosphamide as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
879 880 881 882	104.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of nintedanib compared to IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
883 884 885	105.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of referral for stem cell transplant compared to optimal medical management as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?



## Project Plan – August 2022

887 888 889	106.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD, what is the impact of referral for lung transplant compared to optimal medical management as first line ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
890		
891 892	Rheu	matic disease with ILD progression after any 1 <sup>st</sup> ILD therapy
893 894 895 896	107.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding the combination of nintedanib and mycophenolate compared to adding mycophenolate alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
897 898 899 900	108.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding the combination of pirfenidone and mycophenolate compared to adding mycophenolate alone on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
901 902 903 904	109.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding methotrexate compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
905 906 907 908	110.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding leflunomide compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
909 910 911 912	111.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding azathioprine compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
913 914 915 916	112.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding cyclophosphamide compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
917 918 919 920	113.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding calcineurin inhibitors compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

adding TNF inhibitors compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-

921 114. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of



923 924		related adverse events?
925 926 927 928	115.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
929 930 931 932	116.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
933 934 935 936	117.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding abatacept compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
937 938 939 940	118.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding JAK inhibitors compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment related adverse events?
941 942 943 944	119.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding nintedinib compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
945 946 947 948	120.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding pirfenidone compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
949 950 951 952	121.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding IVIG compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
953 954 955 956	122.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding oral prednisone compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
957 958	123.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding intravenous methylprednisolone compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related



## Project Plan - August 2022

959 960		outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
961 962 963 964	124.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding plasma exchange compared to adding mycophenolate on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
965 966 967 968	125.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding methotrexate compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
969 970 971 972	126.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding leflunomide compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
973 974 975 976	127.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding azathioprine compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
977 978 979 980	128.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding cyclophosphamide compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
981 982 983 984	129.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding calcineurin inhibitors compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
985 986 987 988	130.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding TNF inhibitors compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab) of disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
989 990 991 992 993	131.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

adding abatacept compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab,

994 132. In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of



## Project Plan - August 2022

996 997		ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
998 999	133.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding JAK inhibitors compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab,
1000		ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1001		
1002	134.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1003		adding nintedinib compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab,
1004 1005		ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1005	135	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1007	133.	adding pirfenidone compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab,
1008		ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1009		
1010	136.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1011		adding IVIG compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab,
1012		ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1013		
1014	137.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1015		adding oral prednisone compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab,
1016		obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1017 1018	120	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1018	130.	adding intravenous methylprednisolone compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab,
1019		ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related advers
1021		events?
1022		
1023	139.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1024		adding plasma exchange compared to adding anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab,
1025		obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1026		
1027	140.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1028		adding methotrexate compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-
1029		related adverse events?
1030	1.11	
1031	141.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of

adding leflunomide compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-



1033		related adverse events?
<ul><li>1034</li><li>1035</li></ul>	1/12	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1035	142.	adding cyclophosphamide compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and
1030		treatment-related adverse events?
1037		treatment-related adverse events:
1039	143	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1040	145.	adding calcineurin inhibitors compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and
1041		treatment-related adverse events?
1042		
1043	144.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1044		adding TNF inhibitors compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-
1045		related adverse events?
1046		
1047	145.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1048		adding IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to adding azathioprine on disease-
1049		related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1050		
1051	146.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1052		adding abatacept compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related
1053		adverse events?
1054	1/17	In poople with rhoumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1055 1056	147.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding JAK inhibitors compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-
1057		related adverse events?
1058		related adverse events:
1059	148.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1060		adding nintedinib compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related
1061		adverse events?
1062		
1063	149.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1064		adding pirfenidone compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-
1065		related adverse events?
1066		
1067	150.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1068		adding IVIG compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related



1069 1070		adverse events?
1071 1072 1073	151.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding oral prednisone compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1074		
<ul><li>1075</li><li>1076</li><li>1077</li></ul>	152.	In people with rheumatic disease ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding intravenous methylprednisolone compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1078		
1079 1080 1081 1082	153.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding plasma exchange compared to adding azathioprine on disease-related outcomes and treatment related adverse events?
1083 1084 1085 1086	154.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding methotrexate compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1087 1088 1089 1090	155.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding leflunomide compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1091 1092 1093 1094	156.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding calcineurin inhibitors compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1095 1096 1097 1098	157.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding TNF inhibitors compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	158.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1102 1103 1104	159.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of adding abatacept compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-



1105 1106		related adverse events?
1107	160	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1107	100.	adding JAK inhibitors compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and
1100		treatment-related adverse events?
1110		treatment related adverse events:
1111	161.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1112	202.	adding nintedinib compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-
1113		related adverse events?
1114		
1115	162.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1116		adding pirfenidone compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and
1117		treatment-related adverse events?
1118		
1119	163.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1120		adding IVIG compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related
1121		adverse events?
1122	464	
1123	164.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1124		adding oral prednisone compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
<ul><li>1125</li><li>1126</li></ul>		treatment-related adverse events:
1127	165	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1128	105.	adding intravenous methylprednisolone compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related
1129		outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1130		outcomes and treatment related daverse events:
1131	166.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1132		adding plasma exchange compared to adding cyclophosphamide on disease-related outcomes and
1133		treatment-related adverse events?
1134		
1135	167.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1136		referral for stem cell transplant compared to optimal medical management on disease-related
1137		outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1138		
1139	168.	In people with rheumatic disease with ILD progression after 1st ILD therapy, what is the impact of
1140		referral for lung transplant compared to optimal medical management on disease-related outcomes
1141		and treatment-related adverse events?



## Project Plan – August 2022

1142

1143	Rheu	umatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD
1144 1145 1146 1147	169.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of daily oral prednisone compared to no daily oral prednisone as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1148 1149 1150 1151	170.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of pulse intravenous glucocorticoids compared to no pulse intravenous glucocorticoids as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1152 1153 1154 1155	171.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of nintedanib compared to no nintedanib as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1156 1157 1158 1159	172.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to no pirfenidone as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1160 1161 1162 1163	173.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of adding nintedanib to mycophenolate compared to not adding nintedanib to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1164 1165 1166 1167	174.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of adding pirfenidone to mycophenolate compared to not adding pirfenidone to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1168 1169 1170 1171	175.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of upfront combination of nintedanib with mycophenolate compared to mycophenolate alone as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1172 1173 1174 1175	176.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of upfront combination of pirfenidone with mycophenolate compared to mycophenolate alone as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1176 1177	177.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes



1178 1179		and treatment-related adverse events?
1180 1181 1182 1183	178.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1184 1185 1186 1187	179.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of azathioprine compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1188 1189 1190 1191	180.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1192 1193 1194 1195	181.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1196 1197 1198 1199	182.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1200 1201 1202 1203	183.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1204 1205 1206 1207	184.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1208 1209 1210 1211	185.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1212	186.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors



## Project Plan - August 2022

1214 1215		and treatment-related adverse events?
1215	187	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib
1217	107.	compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes
1218		and treatment-related adverse events?
1219		and treatment related daverse events.
1220	188.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone
1221		compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes
1222		and treatment-related adverse events?
1223		
1224	189.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to
1225		mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and
1226		treatment-related adverse events?
1227		
1228	190.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone
1229		compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes
1230		and treatment-related adverse events?
1231		
1232	191.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of intravenous
1233		methylprednisolone compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on
1234		disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1235		
1236	192.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange
1237		compared to mycophenolate as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes
1238		and treatment-related adverse events?
1239		
1240	193.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate
1241		compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1242		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1243		
1244	194.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide
1245		compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1246		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?

compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line

195. In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of azathioprine

1247

1248



### Project Plan – August 2022

1250		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
<ul><li>1251</li><li>1252</li></ul>	196	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide
1253	150.	compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1254		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1255		Taplary progressive 125 treatment on disease related outcomes and treatment related daverse events.
1256	197.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin
1257		inhibitors compared to anti-CD20 (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) antibody as
1258		first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse
1259		events?
1260		
1261	198.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors
1262		compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1263		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1264		
1265	199.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor
1266		antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab,
1267		obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related
1268		outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1269		
1270	200.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of abatacept
1271		compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1272		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1273		
1274	201.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors
1275		compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1276		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
<ul><li>1277</li><li>1278</li></ul>	202	In people with showmatic disease with regidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of giptodiaih
	202.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1279		
1280 1281		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1282	203	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone
1283	۷۵۵.	compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line
1284		rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1285		Taplat, p. 50. 255.72 125 deathlett on disease related outcomes and deathlett related dayerse events:



### Project Plan – August 2022

1286 1287 1288 1289	204.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	205.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1294 1295 1296 1297 1298	206.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of intravenous methylprednisolone compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1299 1300 1301 1302	207.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab) as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1303 1304 1305 1306	208.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1307 1308 1309 1310	209.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1311 1312 1313 1314	210.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of cyclophosphamide compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1315 1316 1317 1318	211.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1319 1320	212.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?



### Project Plan – August 2022

1323 1324 1325 1326	213.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	214.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1331 1332 1333 1334	215.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	216.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	217.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1343 1344 1345 1346	218.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	219.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	220.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of intravenous methylprednisolone compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1355 1356 1357 1358	221.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to azathioprine as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?



### Project Plan – August 2022

1359 1360 1361 1362	222.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of methotrexate compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1363 1364 1365	223.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of leflunomide compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1366 1367 1368 1369 1370	224.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of calcineurin inhibitors compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	225.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of TNF inhibitors compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	226.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IL-6 receptor antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab) compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	227.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of abatacept compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1383 1384 1385 1386	228.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of JAK inhibitors compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1387 1388 1389 1390	229.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of nintedinib compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
	230.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of pirfenidone compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?



### Project Plan – August 2022

1395 1396 1397 1398	231.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of IVIG compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1399 1400 1401 1402	232.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of oral prednisone compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1403 1404 1405 1406	233.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of intravenous methylprednisolone compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1407 1408 1409 1410	234.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of plasma exchange compared to cyclophosphamide as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1411 1412 1413 1414	235.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of dual combination therapy* compared to monotherapy* as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1415 1416 1417 1418	236.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of triple combination therapy‡ compared to monotherapy† as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1419 1420 1421 1422	237.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of triple combination therapy‡ compared to dual combination therapy* as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1423 1424 1425 1426 1427 1428	238.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of using IVIG and/or plasma exchange in addition to monotherapy <sup>†</sup> , dual combination therapy <sup>*</sup> , or triple combination therapy <sup>‡</sup> compared to using monotherapy <sup>†</sup> , dual combination therapy <sup>*</sup> , or triple combination therapy <sup>‡</sup> alone as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
1429	239.	In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of using an antifibrotic

(e.g., nintedanib or pirfenidone) in addition to monotherapy<sup>†</sup>, dual combination therapy<sup>\*</sup>, or triple

combination therapy‡ compared to using monotherapy†, dual combination therapy\*, or triple



### Project Plan – August 2022

combination therapy‡ alone as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
240. In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of referral for stem cell transplant compared to optimal medical management as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
241. In people with rheumatic disease with rapidly progressive ILD, what is the impact of referral for lung transplant compared to optimal medical management as first line rapidly progressive ILD treatment on disease-related outcomes and treatment-related adverse events?
† Monotherapy examples: oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone, or mycophenolate, or azathioprine, or a calcineurin inhibitor, or rituximab, or cyclophosphamide
* Dual combination therapy examples: oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and mycophenolate, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and azathioprine, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and a calcineurin inhibitor, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and rituximab, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and a JAK inhibitor
‡ Triple combination therapy examples: oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and rituximab and cyclophosphamide, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide and a calcineurin inhibitor, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and mycophenolate and a calcineurin inhibitor, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and mycophenolate and abatacept, or oral prednisone/intravenous methylprednisolone and mycophenolate



### Project Plan - August 2022

.455	APPENDIX B – INCLUSION/EXCLUSION CRITERIA
456	
.457	
.458	<u>POPULATIONS</u>
459	Include
1460	• Adults
461	<ul> <li>Rheumatoid arthritis, Systemic sclerosis (Scleroderma), Mixed Connective Tissue Disease (MCTD), Polymyositis,</li> </ul>
1462	Dermatomyositis, MDA5 Dermatomyositis, Immune Mediated Necrotizing Myositis, Antisynthetase syndrome,
1463	Sjogren's syndrome
.464 .465	Progressive Fibrosing ILD
.465 .466	Exclude
467	Pediatrics (age 16 or younger)
468	<ul> <li>Juvenile scleroderma, juvenile systemic sclerosis, juvenile dermatomyositis, juvenile idiopathic arthritis</li> </ul>
469	<ul> <li>Sarcoidosis, Interstitial Pneumonia with Autoimmune Features (IPAF), ankylosing spondylitis, ANCA-associated</li> </ul>
470	vasculitis, Systemic lupus erythematosus, Undifferentiated connective tissue disease
471	Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis
472	Idiopathic interstitial pneumonias
473	Unclassifiable ILD
474	Overlap syndromes (e.g., SSc+myositis, RA+SSc, et)
475	
476	
477	INTERVENTIONS
478	Include
479	Pulmonary Function Tests (PFTs)
480	• History/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physician examination: crackles
481	on auscultation)
482	High resolution CT Thorax
483	6-minute walk test distance
484	Ambulatory desaturation
.485	Chest radiograph (chest x-ray)
486	<ul> <li>Bronchoscopy (may include broncho-alveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy)</li> </ul>
.487	Surgical lung biopsy
488	• csDMARDs: methotrexate, leflunomide, azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate, calcineurin inhibitors
489	(tacrolimus, cyclosporine)

antagonists (tocilizumab, sarilumab), anti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab,

bDMARDs: TNF inhibitors (etanercept, adalimumab, infliximab, golimumab, certolizumab pegol), IL-6 receptor

1490

1491

1492

ofatumumab), abatacept



#### Project Plan – August 2022

1493 1494 1495	<ul> <li>tsDMARDs: JAK inhibitors (tofacitinib, baricitinib, upadacitinib)</li> <li>Others: Oral prednisone, intravenous methylprednisolone, intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), plasma exchange (plasmapheresis)</li> </ul>
1496 1497 1498 1499	<ul> <li>Antifibrotics: Pirfenidone, Nintedanib</li> <li>Stem cell transplant (autologous, mesenchymal stem cells, hematopoietic, myeloablative, non-myeloablative</li> <li>Lung Transplant</li> </ul>
1500	Exclude
1501 1502	<ul> <li>Vaccines: influenza, COVID-19, MMR, pneumococcus vaccine (refer to 2022 ACR vaccine guideline, presently in journal review; summary is online)</li> </ul>
1503	• Education (self-management of oxygen, ILD disease) (will mention in Introduction or Discussion section)
1504 1505 1506	<ul> <li>Physiotherapy (chest physiotherapy, airway clearance, incentive spirometry), Exercise (aerobic, resistance training yoga, tai chi), Pulmonary Rehabilitation (cardio-pulmonary rehabilitation, resistance training, in a center versus home)</li> </ul>
1507 1508 1509 1510 1511 1512	<ul> <li>Oxygen (oxygen desaturation at rest, oxygen desaturation &lt;88% with exercise)</li> <li>Palliative care (cough, pain, air hunger, end stage, end of life planning, when to initiate, what to initiate)</li> <li>Smoking cessation</li> <li>Fundoplication</li> <li>GI medications: proton pump inhibitors, H2 blockers, promotility agents</li> <li>Ibritumomab (is anti-CD20, but it is radioimmunotherapy)</li> <li>Basiliximab</li> </ul>
1513 1514	Basiliximab
1515	COMPARATORS
1516	Include
1517 1518 1519 1520	<ul> <li>No test</li> <li>History/physical alone (e.g., shortness of breath (dyspnea), functional class and physician examination: crackles o auscultation)</li> <li>High resolution CT Thorax</li> </ul>
1521 1522	<ul> <li>Bronchoscopy (may include broncho-alveolar lavage, transbronchial biopsy, cryobiopsy)</li> <li>6-minute walk test distance</li> </ul>
1523 1524 1525	<ul> <li>Ambulatory desaturation</li> <li>PFTs</li> <li>Placebo, no treatment</li> </ul>
1526	Mycophenolate

antiAnti-CD20 antibody (rituximab, ocrelizumab, obinutuzumab, ofatumumab)

1527

1528

1529

Azathioprine

Cyclophosphamide



### Project Plan – August 2022

1530	<ul> <li>Stem cell transplant (autologous, mesenchymal stem cells, hematopoietic, myeloablative, non-myeloablative)</li> </ul>
1531	Lung transplant
1532	
1533	OUTCOMES (see Appendix C)
1534	
1535	STUDY DESIGN (includes only studies published in English language)
1536	For all PICO questions, we will include randomized or non-randomized controlled trials (this includes case-
1537	control studies). To capture adverse events, we will also consider open-label extension studies of RCTs or
1538	other longitudinal observational studies that focus on safety and tolerability. For PICO questions that focus on
1539	assessing the accuracy of screening tools, we will also include studies without an independent control group,
1540	specifically cohort and cross-sectional studies. We will also include existing systematic reviews and guidelines
1541	from other societies only to confirm that we have included all relevant reference.
1542	Include
1543	RCTs, including:
1544	<ul> <li>Open-label extensions of RCTs with placebo involved</li> </ul>
1545	Non-randomized controlled studies, including
1546	<ul> <li>Case-control studies</li> </ul>
1547	Cohort studies
1548	Cross-sectional studies
1549	<ul> <li>Longitudinal studies (focusing on safety and tolerability)</li> </ul>
1550	Systematic reviews and Guidelines from other societies
1551	
1552	[NOTE: If there has been a recently done, well-done systematic review on the exact PICO that ACR is asking,
1553	then that systematic review could be considered for use in the guideline; primary study data would still need to
1554	be pulled in the ACR's database, though.]
1555	Exclude
1556	Abstracts
1557	Case reports
1558	Narrative reviews
1559	Prevalence studies
1560	Economic studies, e.g., cost-effectiveness studies

Studies with irrelevant population, interventions, or outcomes

Drug adherence studies

• Foreign language studies

• Studies of risk factors

Animal studies

1561

1562

1563 1564



**APPENDIX C: OUTCOMES** 

1566

### Project Plan – August 2022

1567								
1568	Screening							
1569	Critical outcomes:							
1570	Diagnostic accuracy							
1571	<ul> <li>Disease-related outcomes<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>							
1572	Diagnostic testing-related adverse events							
1573								
1574	Monitoring							
1575	Critical outcomes:							
1576	Responsiveness/sensitivity to change of the test							
1577	Disease-related outcomes <sup>1</sup>							
1578	Treatment-related serious adverse events							
1579	Testing-related adverse events							
1580								
1581	Medical management							
1582	Disease-related outcomes <sup>1</sup>							
1583	Treatment-related adverse events							
1584								
1585 1586 1587 1588	<sup>1</sup> Critical outcomes: mortality, disability, health related quality of life, adverse events (serious adverse events, toxicity leading to discontinuation). Surrogate outcomes: disease activity/disease progression defined by forced vital capacity (FVC), diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO), CT thorax: extent of disease, disease progression.							
1589								
1590								
1591								



#### **APPENDIX D: DISCLOSURES**

Participant Disclosures - American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Guideline for the Screening, Monitoring, and Treatment of Interstitial Lung Disease in Patients with Systemic Autoimmune Rheumatic Disease

In order for the College to most effectively further its mission and to otherwise maintain its excellent reputation in the medical community and with the public, it is important that confidence in the College's integrity be maintained. The cornerstone of the ACR's Disclosure Policy is disclosure of actual and potential conflicts so that they can be evaluated by the College in order to avoid undue influence of potential conflicts. The purpose of the ACR's Disclosure Policy is identification of relationships which may pose actual or potential conflicts. These actual or potential conflicts can then be evaluated by the College so that adjustments can be made that will avoid any undue influence. This policy is based on the principle that, in many cases, full disclosure of the actual or potentially conflicting relationship will of itself suffice to protect the integrity of the College and its interests.

Participants	Role	Primary Employer	Interest Held By	Interest Type	Entity/Licensee	Additional Information	Value
Sindhu R. Johnson	Core Team - Co-PI	Toronto Western Hospital	Self	Independent Contractor - Editorial Board	Best Practice and Clinical Rheumatology		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Associate Editor	Journal of Rheumatology		
			Spouse/Partner	Independent Contractor - Editorial Board Member	Anesthesiology		
			Spouse/Partner	Independent Contractor - Editorial Board Member	Canadian Journal of Anesthesia		
			Spouse/Partner	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Edwards Lifesciences		
			Spouse/Partner	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Surgical Safety Technologies		
			Spouse/Partner	Independent Contractor - Editorial Board Member	Circulation Journal		
Elana Bernstein	Core Team - Co-PI	Columbia University/New York- Presbyterian Hospital	Self	Grant / Contract	U.S. Department of Defense		\$750,000.00
			Self	Employment	James J. Peters VA Medical Center		
			Self	Employment	Columbia University		
			Self	Grant / Contract	Scleroderma Research Foundation	Columbia receives fees from the Scleroderma Research Foundation based on the number of new patient study visits and follow-up patient	\$52,000.00



				study visits conducted.	
	Self	Grant / Contract	National Institutes of Health		\$801,500.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - IC Iloprost for SSc- associated Raynaud's	Eicos	Total direct costs: \$16,358.19 per patient	
	Self	Independent Contractor - Data And Safety Monitoring	UCLA Health System		\$20,000.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - A Phase 2, Open-label, Multicenter Study to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of Belumosudil in dcSSc	Kadmon Pharmaceuticals LLC	Total direct costs: \$40,697 per patient	
	Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$500,000.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$6,526.25
	Self	Grant / Contract	Pfizer		\$150,000.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Scientific Advisory Board	Boehringer Ingelheim	I did not accept any compensation for participating in this Scientific Advisory Board.	



Marcy B. Bolster	Core Team - Content expert	Massachusetts General Hospital	Self	Grant / Contract	Genentech	Clinical trial I helped with data collection but did not receive salary support from this trial. I am not privy to the contracted amount. I thought I should disclose my relationship since my name is listed as a co-investigator and co-author on the publication. Please let me know if additional information is needed.	\$1.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Cumberland Pharmaceuticals	I am a co-investigator for this clinical trial	\$31,699.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Merck Sharp & Dohme Corporation		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Associate Editor	PracticeUpdate		\$10,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Member, ABIM Rheumatology Longitudinal Assessment Program (LAP)Approval Committee	American Board of Internal Medicine		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Co-investigator	Corbus		
			custodial account with child who is no longer a dependent	Stock	Johnson and Johnson		\$5,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Principal Investigatory	Rheumatology Research Foundation	Grant recipient for salary support	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Principal Investigatory	Rheumatology Research Foundation	Grant recipient for salary support	



Jonathan H. Chung	Core Team - Content expert	University of Chicago				Nothing to disclose	
onye Danoff Core Team - Content expert	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine	Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim	Open label extension of INBUILD clinical trial	\$15,000.00	
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$500,000.00
		Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	CSL Behring	This is a 4- hour virtual multi-disciplinary advisory board on autoimmune myositis	\$3,000.00	
			Self	Grant / Contract	Bristol Myers Squibb Company	This is a clinical trial of an LPA inhibitor in Progressive-fibrosing ILD	\$125,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$2,600.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Data And Safety Monitoring	Galapagos		\$1,000.00
			Self	Employment	Pulmonary Fibrosis Foundation		
			Self	Fiduciary Officer	American Thoracic Society		
Michael George	Core Team - Content expert	Penn Medicine	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	AbbVie		\$4,085.00
			Self	Employment	Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Global Healthy Living Foundation		
			Self	Grant / Contract	GlaxoSmithKline		\$61,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Dysimmune Diseases Foundation		\$2,600.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Global Healthy Living Foundation		\$3,000.00
Dinesh Khanna	Core Team - Content expert	University of Michigan	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Genentech Foundation		\$4,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	CSL Behring		\$1,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	AbbVie		\$4,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Actelion Pharmaceuticals		\$6,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Prometheus		\$5,000.00



				Flojett Fluii – August 2022			
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Horizon Pharma plc		\$20,000.00
			Self	Stock Option	Eicos Sciences	Chief Medical Officer	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$80,000.00
Ilya Ivlev	Core Team - Literature Review Co-Leader	ECRI Institute	Self	Grant / Contract	U.S. Department of Defense	Role-co-lead	\$0.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	Goal 1: The purpose of this Task Order is to conduct systematic evidence reviews that the Task Force will use to make new or update existing recommendations Goal 2: To synthesize available evidence to support primary care guidelines development.	\$0.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Affiliate Investigator	Kaiser Permanente		
			Self	Grant / Contract	National Institute on Aging		\$60,000.00
			Self	Employment	ECRI		
			Self	Grant / Contract	National Cancer Institute	To synthesize available evidence to support primary care guidelines development. Role: Research Curator, evidence synthesis for actionability	\$0.00
			Self	Employment	Kaiser Permanente		
			Self	Grant / Contract	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality		\$300,000.00



Stacey Uhl	Core Team - Literature Review Co-Leader	ECRI Institute				Nothing to disclose	
Gordon Guyatt	Core Team - GRADE Expert	McMaster University				Nothing to disclose	
Reza Mirza	Core Team - GRADE/Methodological Contributor	McMaster University				Nothing to disclose	
Sandeep Agarwal	Lit Review Team	Baylor College of Medicine				Nothing to disclose	
Danielle Antin-Ozerkis	Lit Review Team	Yale School of Medicine	Self	Grant / Contract	Pliant Therapeutics	Grant paid to institution for work completed, patients enrolled	\$130,999.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Galacto Biotech AB	Grant paid to institution for work completed, patients enrolled	\$116,975.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Galapagos	Grant paid to institution for work completed, patients enrolled	\$181,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	FibroGen	Grant paid to institution for work completed, patients enrolled	\$133,000.00
			Self	Stock	Amgen		\$100,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim	Grant paid to institution for work completed, patients enrolled	\$126,683.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim	Grant paid to institution for work completed, patients enrolled	\$120,811.00
			Self	Stock	Pfizer		\$15,000.00
			Self	Stock	AbbVie		\$100,000.00



			Self	Grant / Contract	Genentech	Grant paid to institution for work completed, patients enrolled	\$211,000.00
Bradford Bemiss	Lit Review Team	Loyola University Medical Center	Self	Independent Contractor - Speakers bureau	Boehringer Ingelheim		
Vaidehi Chowdhary	Lit Review Team	Yale School of Medicine	Self	Independent Contractor - International Editor	International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases		
			Self	Grant / Contract	MCIC vermont	Title: Communication and Management of Test Results in Patients Initiating Biologic Agents PI on the Grant awarded to the section. No compensation for salary, or consultation. No effort paid for self.	\$75,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - International editorial board member	Indian Journal of Rheumatology		
Jane E. Dematte D'Amico	Lit Review Team	Northwestern Medicine	Self	Independent Contractor - Site Principal Investigator	United Therapeutics Corporation		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Site Principle Investigator	FibroGen		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Site Prinicipal Investigator	Genentech		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Site Principal Investigator	Boehringer Ingelheim		
Robert Hallowell	Lit Review Team	Massachusetts General Hospital	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Genentech		\$4,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$2,500.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$5,000.00
			Self	Medical Advisory board for the Myositis Association.			



Alicia M. Hinze	Lit Review Team	Mayo Clinic	Contract with my Employer Mayo Clinic	Grant / Contract	Rheumatology Research Foundation	Career development award which supports engagement in research and career development activities to evaluate radiomic biomarkers for ILD progression in systemic sclerosis.	\$375,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Mayo Clinic	Career Development Award supporting 0.1FTE and some research expenses	\$150,000.00
			Self	Employment	Mayo Clinic	Division of Rheumatology, full time, hybrid research and clinical position	
Patil A. Injean	Lit Review Team	Cedars-Sinai				Nothing to disclose	
Nikhil Jiwrajka	Lit Review Team	Penn Medicine	Self	Grant / Contract	Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania		\$15,000.00
			Self	Employment	Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania		
			Self	Grant / Contract	Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania		\$40,000.00
Elena Joerns	Lit Review Team	UT Southwestern Medical Center	Self	Employment	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center		
			Self	Grant / Contract	Pfizer Inc.	22.7% effort	\$50,000.00



			T32 training grant supporting Elena Joerns' salary	Grant / Contract	National Institute of Health	The major goals of this project are to perform a detailed phenotypic analysis of immunosuppressed patients with interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features in the UTSW cohort and assess predictors of response to immunosuppression.	\$259,496.00
Joyce Lee	Lit Review Team	University of Colorado	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$1,200.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim	Only start up costs have been received, the milestone based payment of the remainder of the grant has been delayed due to the pandemic.	\$500,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim	Aryeh Fischer was site PI originally, transferred to me beginning August 2019 after his departure	\$128,954.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Bristol-Myers Squibb		\$18,320.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim	my role is co- investigator	\$491,453.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Novartis		\$71,253.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Pulmonary Fibrosis Foundation	They support 25% of my time	



	Self	Grant / Contract	FibroGen		\$30,455.00
	Self	Grant / Contract	U.S. Department of Defense	my role is co- investigator	\$9,969,396.00
	Self	Employment	Pulmonary Fibrosis Foundation	They support 25% of my time	
	Self	Grant / Contract	National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute		\$576,298.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	ElevenP15		\$6,000.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Data And Safety Monitoring	United Therapeutics Corporation		\$1,000.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Data And Safety Monitoring	SyneosHealth		\$2,000.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Member of the ILD editorial board	Chest Journal		
	Self	Employment	university of Colorado school of medicine		
	Self	Grant / Contract	UCLA Health System	Aryeh Fischer was site PI originally, transferred to me beginning August 2019 after his departure	\$93,553.00
	Self	Grant / Contract	National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute	My role is co- investigator	\$6,195,411.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Co-chair of program committee	American Thoracic Society		
	Self	Grant / Contract	Galapagos	close out ongoing	\$33,291.00
	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	United Therapeutics Corporation		\$800.00



Ashima Makol	Lit Review Team	Mayo Clinic	Self	Independent Contractor - Co-investigator for clinical trial sub study	Boehringer Ingelheim	No compensation. Nailfold capillaroscopy substudy complete more than 2 years ago. SENSCIS-ON participants are seen periodically for physical exams.	
			Self	Employment	Mayo Clinic		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Site PI for the KD025-209 study	Kadmon Corporation LLC	No personal compensation. Costs are study execution costs on site. Trial recently closed to recruitment by Sanofi. No further recruitment activity at our site.	\$22,630.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Medical content contributor	Figure1		\$1,300.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - HZN-825 in Patients With Diffuse Cutaneous Systemic Sclerosis	Horizon Therapeutics plc	Phase Study is not active yet but plan to activate april/may 2022 at our site.	
Gregory McDermott	Lit Review Team	Massachusetts General Hospital	Self	Employment	Partners Healthcare		
			Self	Employment	Brigham and Women's Hospital	Clinical Fellow	
Jake G Natalini	Lit Review Team	NYU Langone Health				Nothing to disclose	
Justin Oldham	Lit Review Team	University of California, Davis	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$20,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	United Therapeutics Corporation		\$3,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	F. Hoffmann-La Roche		\$5,800.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc		\$5,000.00
Didem Saygin	Lit Review Team	University of Chicago				Nothing to disclose	



Kimberly Showalter Lakin	Lit Review Team	Hospital for Special Surgery, Weill Cornell Medicine				Nothing to disclose	
Namrata Singh	Lit Review Team	University of Washington	Self	Grant / Contract	American Heart Association		\$200,000.00
					Rheumatology Research		
			Self	Grant / Contract	Foundation		\$375,000.00
Joshua J. Solomon	Lit Review Team	National Jewish Health	Self	Independent Contractor - Investigator Initiated Grant Funding	Boehringer Ingelheim	30,000 total grant funding for 2022	\$30,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - CTD ILD education	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$6,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - PI TRAIL1 Trial	Genentech		\$14,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	PFIZER CANADA INC		\$82,904.00
Jeffrey Sparks	Lit Review Team	Brigham and Women's Hospital	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Amgen		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Bristol-Myers Squibb		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	AbbVie		
Marat Turgunbaev	Lit Review Team	American College of Rheumatology				Nothing to disclose	
Samera Vaseer	Lit Review Team	University of Oklahoma				Nothing to disclose	
Rohit Aggarwal	Voting Panel	University of Pittsburgh Medical Center	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Scipher		
			Self	Intellectual Property - Other Intellectual Property		Under University of Pittsburgh	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Kezar		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	E.R. Squibb & Sons, L.L.C.		
			Self	Grant / Contract	E.R. Squibb & Sons, L.L.C.		\$148,531.84
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Galapagos		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Mallinckrodt LLC		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	argenx		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	EMD Serono		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	corbus		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	kyverna		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Horizon Therapeutics plc		



			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Merck	
			Self	Grant / Contract	Mallinckrodt Hospital Products Inc.	
			Self	Grant / Contract	Q32	\$10,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Roivant	
			Self	Grant / Contract	Mallinckrodt LLC	\$75,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	EMD Serono	\$10,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Q32	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Janssen Global Services, LLC	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	CSL Behring	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Janssen Biotech, Inc.	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	AbbVie Inc.	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Teva Pharmaceutical Industries	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Octapharma USA, Inc.	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Pfizer	
Chamin Assasi	Vatina Danal	Towns Haalth Cainnas Courtan		·		¢702 F02 00
Shervin Assassi	Voting Panel	Texas Health Science Center Houston	Self	Grant / Contract	National Institute of Health	\$783,582.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Section Editor	Current Opinions in Rheumatology	
			Self	Grant / Contract	Scleroderma Research Foundation	\$467,797.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Editorial Board	Arthritis Research and Therapy	
			Self	Grant / Contract	National Institute of Health	\$1,710,960.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Speaker bureau	Integrity continuous education	\$2,500.00
			Self	Employment	The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston	
			Self	Grant / Contract	National Institute of Health	\$346,497.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	AstraZeneca	\$4,296.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Speaker	North Carolina Rheumatology Association	\$4,000.00



			Self	Independent Contractor - President	scleroderma clinical trial consortium	This is a non-profit, global organization for improving quality of clinical research in systemic sclerosis.	
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim	I have not yet received any compensation yet but the estimated compensation for 2022 is \$15,000 to \$20,000.	
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$127,084.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$108,383.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$21,465.59
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	CSL Behring		\$2,115.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Janssen Biotech, Inc.		\$246,254.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	AbbVie		\$2,250.00
Lenore Buckley	Voting Panel	Yale School of Medicine				Nothing to disclose	
Paul F. Dellaripa	Voting Panel	Brigham and Women's Hospital	Self	Independent Contractor - committee member	Food and Drug Administration		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Clincal investigator	Genentech		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Clincal investigator	Bristol-Myers Squibb	no payment of money to me	
			Self	Independent Contractor - committee member	Boehringer Ingelheim		
Robyn T. Domsic	Voting Panel	University of Pittaburgh Medical Center	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	CSL Behring		
Tracy Doyle	Voting Panel	Brigham and Women's Hospital	Self	Grant / Contract	Genentech	Site PI for TRAIL1 clinical trial (0.12 calendar months or 1% effort). \$9,750 total cost, \$7,500 direct cost per year.	\$9,750.00



Tracy M. Frech	Voting Panel	Vanderbilt University Medical Center				Nothing to disclose	
Monique E. Hinchcliff	Voting Panel	Yale School of Medicine	Self	Grant / Contract	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$950,231.00
Cheilonda Johnson	Voting Panel	Penn Medicine				Nothing to disclose	
Jeffrey P. Kanne	Voting Panel	University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Calyx.ai	Independent reviewer for clinical trials - 1 hour per week	\$15,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Bayer Healthcare	Intermittent clinical trial work	\$5,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Elsevier		\$1,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Delfi Diagnostics	\$350 per hour up to 20 hours	\$475.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Wolters Klewer Health, Inc.		\$3,000.00
John S. Kim	Voting Panel	University of Virginia School of Medicine	Self	Grant / Contract	Pulmonary Fibrosis Foundation		\$50,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute		\$171,612.00
Scott Matson	Voting Panel	University of Kansas Medical Center	Self	Employment	School of Medicine, University of Kansas		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	imvaria diagnostics		
Zsuzsanna McMahan	Voting Panel	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine	Self	Grant / Contract	Jerome L. Greene Foundation		\$50,000.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	National Institute of Health		\$149,703.00
			Self	Grant / Contract	Corbus Pharmaceuticals Holdings	I was a sub-PI who did skin biopsies; I did get salary support for each biopsy I perform (~1% total)	\$1,200.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - ACR Representative to the Ex Officio Advisory Board	World Scleroderma Foundation		
			Self	Intellectual Property - Other Intellectual Property			



Lee Shapiro	Voting Panel	Albany Medical College				Nothing to disclose	
Christine D. Sharkey	Voting Panel	University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health				Nothing to disclose	
Ross S. Summer	Voting Panel	Thomas Jefferson University Hospital	Self	Independent Contractor - Horizon Trial IPF	Horizon Pharma plc		
John Varga	Voting Panel	University of Michigan	Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Emerald pharma		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	up to date		
			Self	Independent Contractor - editor	current rheumatology reports		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		\$4,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Best doctors		
			Self	Independent Contractor - EIC	WILEY		\$5,000.00
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	Boehringer Ingelheim		
			Self	Independent Contractor - Consultant	TeneoBio Pharma		\$20,000.00