

Athlete Representation Review Working Group

Review Report

NGB	USA Karate	
Working Group Personnel		
USOPC AAC Designee	Mark Ladwig	
NGBC Designee	Staciellen Mischel	
NGB Representative to USOPC AAC	Brian Ramrup	
Support Personnel		
Executive Director, AAC	Meryl Fishler (Designee)	
NGB Services Liaison	David Patterson	
Session Information		
Date of NGB Submission	13 August 2021	
Date of Report	3 September 2021	

Reviewed Competitions	
Competition	 World Championship (Starting in 2008) Pan American Karate Federation Championships (Starting in 2007) Senior National Team Selection Competition – 2nd Stage
NGB Recommendation	Approve
NGB AAC Recommendation	Approve
Working Group Finding	Approve
Finding Notes	,

The two international championships are premiere international events wherein Team USA Athletes qualify via an NGB selection process. The effective date for these events reflects the availability of reliable records as well as the participation of women in comparable amounts of weight classes at these events. The NGB and athlete leadership were encouraged to return to the Working Group to extend the effective date further into the past should they get more reliable records and wish to further expand the pool of 10+ athletes.

The senior national team selection competition is the highest domestic competition in relation to qualification for Team USA. To qualify for the 2nd stage, athletes must qualify via the national championships and then qualify through the first stage of the event.

Competition	USAKNF National Championships
NGB Recommendation	Approve (Actively Engaged)
NGB AAC Recommendation	Approve (Actively Engaged)
Working Group Finding	Approve (Actively Engaged)
Finding Notes	

The national championship event is a high-level domestic competition requiring extensive training and preparation. As noted above the event is also a qualifier for the national team selection competition.

While it was clear that athletes competing at this event are competing at a high level, the open nature of the competition indicated that it was best fit as "actively engaged" rather than another athlete status.

Reviewed Committees		
Committee	 Audit Nominating and Governance Ethics Judicial 	
NGB Recommendation	Designated	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Designated	
Working Group Finding	Designated	
Finding Notes		
These committees were based on the NGB Bylaws	and met the definition of Designated.	
Committee	Referee EducationReferee CertificationCoaches	
NGB Recommendation	Other	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Other	
Working Group Finding	Other	
Finding Notes	•	
As these committees do not work directly with NGE issues, the panel agreed with the NGB and it's AA		

NGB RecommendationOther, With ExemptionsNGB AAC RecommendationOther, With ExemptionsWorking Group FindingOther, With Exemptions• 20% athlete representation on these committees will be required	Committee	TechnicalReferee OperationsMedical
Working Group Finding Other, With Exemptions • 20% athlete representation on	NGB Recommendation	Other, With Exemptions
20% athlete representation on	NGB AAC Recommendation	Other, With Exemptions
	Working Group Finding	 20% athlete representation on

Finding Notes

The NGB and AAC outlined that these committees deal with highly specific areas of the sport in which an athlete voice was necessary (thus the retention of 20% representation) but that the 33% threshold was not necessary for their work. The NGB should be careful to ensure that the scope of work never come to include governance, resource allocation or national team matters and that if it does, that the NGB return to the panel for updated guidance.