

Athlete Representation Review Working Group

Review Report

NGB	USA Rugby	
Working Group Personnel		
USOPC AAC Designee	Cody Mattern	
NGBC Designee	Staciellen Mischel	
NGB Representative to USOPC AAC	Irene Gardner	
Support Personnel		
Executive Director, AAC	Elizabeth Ramsey	
NGB Services Liaison	David Patterson	
Session Information		
Date of NGB Submission	March 15, 2021	
Date of Report	April 30, 2021	

Overview of Submission and Discussion

The NGB, having the approval of its AAC, submitted a set of committees and competitions for review by the Working Group (WG). The submission was provided in due time and was reviewed in advance of the meeting.

Reviewed Competitions		
Competition	Rugby World Cup 7sRugby World CupSevens World Series	
NGB Recommendation	Elite International Competition	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Elite International Competition	
Working Group Finding	Elite International Competition	
Finding Notes		
The athletes in these 7s and 15s events are at the highest level of the discipline, representing Team USA having been selected by an NGB led selection process.		
Competition	Team USA 15s Test Matches	
NGB Recommendation	Elite International Competition	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Elite International Competition	
Working Group Finding	Elite International Competition	
Finding Notes		
Test matches are international matches sanctioned by the International Federation. Athletes		
competing in these competitions are representing Team USA at the highest level and have been		
selected to their team through an NGB led selection process.		
Competition	7s and 15s Player Pool	
NGB Recommendation	Elite International Competition	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Elite International Competition	
Working Group Finding	Elite International Competition	

Finding Notes

The NGB defines player pool athletes as:

- Having competed in 3 international matches; or
- Played on 3 international tours; or
- Trained with the national team program over a period of at least three years.

In all cases, the athlete would be selected to the pool using NGB led selection criteria.

Competition	NGB Sanctioned National or International Competitions
NGB Recommendation	Actively Engaged
NGB AAC Recommendation	Actively Engaged
Working Group Finding	Actively Engaged
Finding Notes	·

In all national and international competitions, for "senior" or "open" competition, the competition is at an elite level and the athletes involved would be expected to train and compete as elite athletes. The slate of events outlined for "Actively Engaged" would create a comparable amount of available athletes among men and women.

Reviewed Committees		
Committee	 Audit and Risk High Performance Ethics and Standards Joint Management Working Group Nominations Committee Discipline and Grievances 	
NGB Recommendation	Designated	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Designated	
Working Group Finding	Designated	
Finding Notes		
These committees were clearly within the definition Working Group, the NGB and its AAC.	ition of Designated – a finding shared among the	
Committee	Medical Diversity, Equity and Inclusion	
NGB Recommendation	Other Committees	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Other Committees	
Working Group Finding	Other Committees	
Finding Notes	·	
Both of these committees deal with specialist to decision-making authority.	ppics and provide guidance or advice – without any	
Committee	 Referee and Laws Committee 	
NGB Recommendation	Other Committees – With Exception	
NGB AAC Recommendation	Other Committees – With Exception	
Working Group Finding	Other Committees – With Exception	
Finding Notes		
The NGB requested that the Referee and Laws representation rather than the requisite 33%. The provisions of "Other Committees".	Committee be required to have 10% athlete he Committee would otherwise be subject to the	

The NGB outlined that the committee acts in an advisory role only and does not change the Laws of the Game, certification requirements nor nominations of officials to national or international events. The NGB AAC noted that they do not feel there is a need for a full complement of athletes on this committee, and that as the AAC would make appointments to the committee they could ensure that the representatives on the committee would be well suited to advocate for the athlete perspective in that setting.

As with other committee requirements, it should be clear that that athlete representation in this modified version would be accurately stated as "not less than 10%".

The Working Group agreed with the NGB and with the AAC that 10% composition, including at least one AAC appointed athlete, for the Referee and Laws Committee would be acceptable. This is with the condition that the terms of reference for the committee continue to not have any decision-making authority. Should the mandate or authority of the committee change to include decision making authority 33% athlete representation would once again be required.